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Disclaimer
Editorial

“Back to better” is our only sustainable recovery option.

Will the pandemic offer a new chance to shape a greener, more sustainable economy? AXA strives to leverage its climate leadership to support a recovery which is aligned with the Paris Agreement.

Recent learnings

As the Covid-19 crisis is starting to show its full impact for business and society, what lessons can be learned from the past? In 2009, in the wake of the financial crisis, calls for a “Global Green New Deal” urged governments to break dependence on fossil fuels and invest 1% of global GDP into energy efficiency, renewables, clean transportation. These calls were dismissed, massive stimulus packages were launched, and the economy rebounded. Back to normal, CO2 emissions grew by 6% in 2010, after a 1.5% drop in 2009, and have risen further since.

In 2020, even larger post-Covid crisis stimulus packages have been launched, but unless they factor stringent considerations related to the climate urgency, they risk substituting one crisis for another. The timing and sheer magnitude of the pandemic took all business leaders and policymakers by surprise. In contrast, the climate crisis is largely predictable and its social and economic impacts well documented. It requires such a vast transformation of our economy that we cannot afford to let the efforts mobilized to tackle this crisis go to waste: they are an opportunity to do things we thought we could not do before. Let’s learn from the past and aim for “back to better”. AXA fully supports a green recovery, and it is the complex backdrop against which we drafted our 2020 Climate report.

Mobilisation

We supported the “European Alliance for a Green Recovery” and the EpE call for a “Green Rebound”, which encourage energy retrofits of buildings, decarbonisation mobility and the expansion and storage of low-carbon energy. We took the lead of a new World Economic Forum working group designed to mobilize private sector capital to finance the EU Green Deal.

We also believe a wider macroeconomic perspective should be brought forward by developing blended finance instruments which can combine public capital, supporting necessary investments that are not profitable in the short run, with private capital that can bring scale and rigor, while leveraging today’s historically low interest rates and long debt maturities. Investing in the Green transition is not necessarily immediately profitable. Countries which are already dealing with difficult debt sustainability conditions could face a “backlash” in the form of higher interest rates when front-loading green investment expenditure. This is why long-termism and “green recovery” are a good match, provided these investments are separated from conventional public debt accounting. AXA promotes this concept via a Climate Emergency Fund since 2019. Such instruments would be a natural fit to the “Recovery and Resilience” programme recently launched by the European Commission. We can also leverage our climate leadership.

A new climate ambition...

In November 2019 we launched four new developments in our climate journey, aligning our business with the Paris Agreement: we committed to achieving investment “climate neutrality” by 2050, we doubled our green investments target to €24 billion by 2023, we launched the “Transition Bond” asset class and announced a total exit from the coal industry via massively strengthened underwriting restrictions. In addition, we have joined the Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance (AOA) and the TCFD, where we promote a quantifiable approach to investment climate neutrality. This new strategy, building on five years of efforts (see Section 5), leverages every asset and expertise: investments, underwriting, research, outreach.

... Connected to “Paris-aligned” recovery proposals

We suggest to align post-Covid recovery strategies with the Paris Agreement by promoting a differentiated evaluation of the climate-related impacts of individual companies and sectors, using new dynamic metrics. Despite methodology caveats which we are addressing with our AOA peers, the “investment temperature” concept (see “Warming Potential” in Section 7) can be used to evaluate the degree of “Paris-alignment” of companies, entire sectors and portfolios – and hence their contribution to a sustainable recovery. These metrics are designed to analyze the robustness of “carbon neutral” strategies developed by companies while facing similar sector-level constraints and opportunities. In short, public-private support may be steered towards “low temperature” businesses, and away from those which fail to align with the Paris Agreement. This is now measurable, and we tested it on ourselves.

Taking our temperature

Our 2020 work in this field reveals that AXA’s corporate and sovereign investments display a “Warming Potential” of 2.8°C, which is not only below our benchmark, but also decreasing, while our benchmark is rising to 3.6°C. Prudence must be exerted when analysing figures based on evolving methodologies, but they tend to show that institutional investors remain partly “trapped” in a broader carbon-intensive macroeconomic context. Achieving our long term investment “climate neutrality” ambition will require “net-zero” stock picking and some gradual sector reallocations. But reaching our ambition will require “real-world” changes via the combined pressure from policymakers and regulators (catalysing business efforts), markets and consumers (reorienting supply and demand), and – this is our role – insurers and investors (providing capital and business incentives towards net-zero models). As the next COP26 plans to highlight the role of private finance, we believe it is also key to understand that without a “cooler” business environment, committed investors will not be able to accelerate this journey.

Business unusual

This “cooling” is a euphemism for system change with a fair amount of economic “creative destruction”. Change is more acceptable when it is truly required, and it is most required when faced with a crisis. These are unusual times, and business as usual solutions are obsolete. Now has never been a better time for resolute climate action. For investing in and insuring a world we will be proud to leave to future generations.
Executive Summary

Climate change presents every business with a range of challenges and opportunities. These are particularly critical in the insurance industry. Every year since 2015, AXA publishes a Climate Report which describes our current climate strategy and new developments, in line with but also beyond climate reporting frameworks. Our 2020 report further explores innovative climate-related impact metrics, the roll-out of our new Climate Strategy, while also reflecting on the need for renewed climate action in the wake of the Covid-19 crisis.

The Paris Agreement is our roadmap

“Well below 2°C” and “pursue best efforts to limit warming to 1.5°C by 2100”: the goals of the Paris Agreement.

3.2°C expected temperature increase by 2100 if COP21 national pledges are implemented

4.8°C expected temperature increase if inaction prevails (“BAU” scenarios)

-45% CO₂ emissions 2010-2030 needed to achieve 1.5°C by 2100

AXA’s new climate strategy aligns our business with the Paris Agreement

1.5°C investment “warming potential” target by 2050

€24bn green investments by 2023

€100m transition bonds concept launch

0% coal by 2040

Integrating sustainability factors

85% of the Group’s Credit Portfolio covered by an internal credit rating

8,000+ companies covered by AXA’s ESG research

89% corporate equities

95% corporate debt

99% sovereign debt

74% Real Estate covered by ESG scoring

Aligning investments with the Paris Agreement: the “warming potential” approach

A slight “cooling” of our investments while our investment universe slightly “warms”.

The European Commission’s post-Covid “rescue fund”

AXA promotes a recovery which is aligned with the Paris Agreement, by helping to mobilize private sector capital to finance the EU Green Deal and proposing to leverage new forward-looking climate metrics to assess the climate impacts of individual companies and sectors. “Back to better”!
Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance

26 institutional investors representing almost $5 trillion in assets under management collectively committed to achieved climate neutrality by 2050.

How climate change may impact our investments

-10.5% Company cost of climate (under a 1.5°C scenario)
-3.3% Portfolio cost of climate (under a 1.5°C scenario)

Greening our investments

€12bn AXA's 2019 total green investments
€24bn green investments target by 2023
-31% AXA's 2014-2019 investment carbon footprint
4.9% Green share of AXA's corporate investments
€550m committed to Impact investing since 2013
€100m "proof of concept" transition bond launched

Physical risks on AXA’s “Real Assets”

Insurance risk

Physical risk (severity and frequency)

Exposure (building’s physical properties)

Vulnerability (destruction rates)

- €4.3m our Real Assets Annual Average Loss to Floods
- €6.2m AXA’s Real Assets Annual Average Loss to Windstorms

Investment and business exclusions

€7.5bn total assets divested via sector policies
0% coal in our business by 2030 (EU/OECD) and 2040 (rest of the world)

Direct environmental footprint

-32% CO2 emissions per employee between 2012 and 2019
-25% CO2 emissions reduction target between 2019 and 2025

Voting & engagement 2019

6,016 General Meetings voted
46% meetings where AXA did not fully support management proposals
217 issuers engaged

Products & services

100% SRI funds in AXA's collectives savings range
4.9 m “Assurance Citoyenne” contracts sold since 2015
55 m Indian farmers protected via AXA’s parametric solutions

Our sustainability performance

97th percentile ranking according to the DJSI
#1/49 AXA’s ranking in our industry according to Vigeo
Context & Introduction

A report at the crossroads of investments & insurance, mandatory and voluntary frameworks, and ESG & Climate

In line with AXA’s climate reporting strategy since 2016 (see our past Climate reports on www.axa.com), this report describes AXA’s responsible investment and insurance initiatives, in line with two different but partly overlapping and complementary frameworks:

- the mandatory disclosure requirements related to France’s “Article 173”(1), which considers environmental, as well as social and governance issues (ESG);
- the voluntary disclosure recommendations of the Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)(2), which focus exclusively on Climate-related factors. In preparing this report, AXA has taken into account the TCFD’s “Guidance for all sectors” and “supplemental guidance” for asset owners and asset managers.

To address these mandatory disclosure requirements and voluntary disclosure recommendations, this report answers regulatory requirements derived from Art. 173, while following the TCFD structure (Governance, Strategy, Risk Management, Metrics & Targets, see diagram below). This work is also described in AXA’s 2019 Annual Report (3) (published in March 2020).

Previous years’ Climate Reports and Annual Reports are available on www.axa.com.

Core Elements of Recommended Climate-Related Financial Disclosures

- Governance
  The organization’s governance around climate-related risks and opportunities
- Strategy
  The actual and potential impacts of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organization’s businesses, strategy and financial planning
- Risk Management
  The processes used by the organization to identify, assess and manage climate-related risks
- Metrics and Targets
  The metrics and targets used to assess and manage relevant climate-related risks and opportunities

Statutory audit

This report has been reviewed by AXA’s external auditors (PwC). This is a voluntary initiative. PwC’s limited assurance report can be found at the end of this report. Its findings confirm the robustness of our processes and underlying assumptions.

(1) Article L. 533-22-1 and Article D. 533-16-1 of the French Monetary and Financial Code (Code monétaire et financier, as respectively amended by, and adopted pursuant to, Article 173 (IV) of Law No. 2015-992 of August 17, 2015 relating to Energy Transition for Green Growth, generally referred to as “Article 173”.
(2) www.fsb-tcfd.org
(3) AXA’s 2019 “Universal Registration Document” for the year ended December 31, 2019 ("Annual Report").
1. From COP21 to COP26: science-based guidance from policymakers

A robust scientific consensus

Science is clear: the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) Fifth “Assessment report”(1) presented evidence from the global scientific community that the Earth is warming at an unprecedented rate and that anthropogenic Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions are the main cause, in particular carbon dioxide. The likely impacts of climate change are well documented, and indeed some of the effects that had been predicted by science in the past are now occurring: for example, loss of sea ice, accelerated sea level rise and longer, more intense heat waves. The IPCC predicts that effects will include further melting ice and rising seas, resulting in flooding and erosion of coastal and low-lying areas. Some developing countries will be most affected, as local populations depend significantly on their natural environment and have less resources to cope with a degraded climate. Heat-related deaths and water-borne illnesses may increase. Many plants and terrestrial, freshwater and marine species are struggling to cope with a fast-changing climate and face an increased risk of extinction. Finally, local economies may suffer from increased damage to property and infrastructure and certain industries which rely most on environmental factors, such as agriculture, forestry, energy and tourism, may face decreasing revenues.

The Paris Agreement is the overarching framework and roadmap for climate action

Therefore, in Dec. 2015, during COP21, 195 countries gathered in Paris to negotiate and adopt the Paris Agreement(2). Countries that ratified the agreement legally bound themselves to collectively hold warming to “well below 2°C compared to pre-industrial levels” (period before 1750) and pursue best efforts to limit warming to 1.5°C by 2100. These thresholds were chosen based on the “level of destruction” they entail. Indeed, the risks associated with warming are substantially lower at 1.5°C than 2°C(3). The Paris Agreement also highlighted the role of investors (see box) in the response to threat of climate change.

Context Box

COP21 Paris Agreement Article 2: the key role of investors

“This Agreement (…) aims to strengthen the global response to the threat of climate change (…) by: (a) Holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels (…); (b) Increasing the ability to adapt to the adverse impacts of climate change and foster climate resilience and low greenhouse gas emissions development (…); and (c) Making finance flows consistent with a pathway towards low greenhouse gas emissions and climate-resilient development.”

As the Paris Agreement binds Governments to collectively hold warming below safe levels by reducing greenhouse gas emissions within specific thresholds and timeframes, it requires rapid and significant emissions reductions. This low carbon transition target will have significant consequences for the “real economy”, and in turn for investors and insurers. These can choose to develop a long-term risks & opportunities framework derived from the Paris Agreement roadmap. This is the backdrop for AXA’s climate action.

(3) The IPCC 2018 report revealed for example that by 2100, sea level rise would be 10 cm lower in a “1.5°C world” compared with 2°C. Extreme heatwaves will be experienced by 14% of the world’s population at least once every five years at 1.5°C, but this ratio rises to 37% under 2°C. Coral reefs will still decline by 70-90% under 1.5°C, but this will rise to 99% under 2°C. A half degree makes a significant difference.
1. From COP21 to COP26: science-based guidance from policymakers

**COP26 - On the road to the “Glasgow Agreement”**

The COP21 Paris Agreement commits all parties to the agreement to develop a new and ramped up Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) every five years. This is why COP26 is a key COP meeting during which governments are expected to lead climate action with strengthened commitments, possibly in the form of a “Glasgow Agreement”. Private finance is expected to play an important role in a successful transition to a net zero carbon economy, as unlocking the private financial flows will be vital, in addition to supporting resilience efforts through risk capitalisation.

In particular, the COP26 “Private Finance Agenda” whose February 2020 launch was supported in-person by AXA’s CEO Thomas Buberl, is designed to “mobilise ambitious action from across the financial system needed to help achieve the 1.5°C goal of the Paris Agreement. This will include building the frameworks for financial reporting, Risk Management and returns to bring the impacts of climate change to the mainstream of private financial decision making and to support the transition to a net zero economy.” The objective of the work for COP26 is “to ensure that every financial decision takes climate change into account”\(^{(1)}\). In order to do so, three areas of focus have been outlined:

- **“reporting”:** improving the quantity and quality of climate-related disclosure by implementing a common framework built on TCFD;
- **“risk”:** ensuring firms and investors can measure and manage climate-related risks in a transition to a “net-zero” world, notably via enhanced climate stress-testing;
- **“returns”:** helping both firms and investors to identify opportunities in the transition to a low carbon economy. This includes considering if warming potential, or any other metric, is the best way to measure the potential opportunities in the transition.

As developed in this report, AXA engages actively in this direction, and it is in this evolving context that AXA recently launched a new climate strategy.

**To ensure that every financial decision takes climate change into account**

The COP26 Private Finance’s agenda.

\(^{(1)}\) Initially to be convened in Glasgow under UK presidency, in November 2020, but delayed by the Covid-19 crisis until 2021.

\(^{(2)}\) The Private Finance work is led by Mark Carney as “Finance Adviser” for COP26. In his previous role as Chair of the Financial Stability Board and Governor of the Bank of England, he warned against the potentially systemic nature of climate-related financial risks and helped launch the TCFD in 2015. Since 2019, he is also UN Special Envoy on Climate, replacing Michael Bloomberg, TCFD Founder, in this role.


--

**“Well below 2°C”**

"pursue best efforts to limit warming to 1.5°C by 2100": the goal of the Paris Agreement
2. A new phase in AXA’s climate strategy

Climate change is a medium to long-term risk with a complex quantification of impacts on our activities. AXA’s strategy is not only to adapt, but also to take advantage of our expertise to provide solutions. Indeed, we are well equipped to contribute to the understanding of climate change through our Risk Management expertise, the vast number of claims data we collect, and the research we fund to address climate-related risks.

As an insurer, we also have a responsibility to share knowledge about new risks. Through our underwriting decisions, we can also show the risks society is taking and foster prevention actions to mitigate them. Finally, through our significant investments, we are also well positioned to send the right signals to the investment community and to the specific companies we invest in.

Our climate-related initiatives leverage every asset and expertise at our disposal: green investments, divestments and underwriting restrictions, products with environmental added value, climate resilience and adaptation solutions, risk modelling, impact investing, shareholder engagement, direct environmental footprint reduction, academic research, outreach, thought leadership, partnerships and employee volunteering.

We acted early and comprehensively. AXA first sounded the alarm when we said that “a 4°C world is not insurable”. By this we meant that runaway climate change will create risks so large that conventional market mechanisms may no longer be suitable. We also legitimized coal divestment in early 2015. Coal is by far the most carbon intensive form of energy. Phasing it out is key to achieve the Paris Agreement. In 2017 we pioneered coal and oil sands restrictions in our insurance business, which is a difficult business decision, then extended it to our new Commercial lines entity, AXA XL in 2018. We have also committed to and achieved an ambitious green investment target. We promoted a strong collective agenda with the TCFD and EU initiatives. We have explored new forward-looking climate alignment metrics since our 2018 TCFD report. In 2019 we reframed the biodiversity crisis as a financial risk.

In 2019, AXA launched a new phase in its climate strategy during its “Climate Impact Day” event on November 27.

This new strategy features four main new developments, supporting the concept of aligning our business with the Paris Agreement:

› “Warming Potential” of our investments capped under 1.5°C by 2050. We joined the Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance to support this commitment in a collective setting and lead the methodology-related work within this Alliance;

› green investment target doubled to € 24 billion by 2023;

› launch of the “Transition Bond” asset class, with a €100 million “Proof of Concept” issuance;

› total exit from the coal industry and strengthened underwriting restrictions.

The implications of this new strategy, building on five years of efforts, are further described in this report.
3. TCFD guidance: Governance

“In May 2020, AXA created a new internal structure to accelerate the Groups’ ability to leverage its business model to respond to societal issues, with a strong focus on climate-related considerations.”

The RISSC is co-chaired by the Group Chief Risk & Investment Officer and the Group Head of Communication, Brand and Corporate Responsibility with the purpose of steering AXA Group’s role in society strategy, e.g. climate, sustainability and inclusive insurance, and reviewing all related material investment, underwriting, risk, operational and policy issues faced by the Group, including analysing this report. The authority of the RISSC covers all of the Group’s operations. The RISSC members thus represent a wide range of functions, responsibilities and geographies. The RISSC meets on a quarterly basis and reports back to the Management Committee concerning material decisions taken and issues considered on which Management Committee guidance or decisions are needed. The topics and projects covered by the RISSC are largely described in this report: investment and underwriting guidelines, metrics and targets, Risk Management, reporting, operational impacts, partnerships, outreach, etc.
Insurance underwriting

Insurance-related ESG risks also benefit from a specific governance, notably the Group Underwriting Committee, which defines underwriting restrictions, including CR-related. The Group CR team provides a bridge with the RI-related governance. Within local AXA entities, Chief Executive Officers are required to actively ensure and monitor adherence to the Group underwriting risk framework. They are responsible for complying with the Group Standards for both Underwriting and Claims. The AXA Group policy is cascaded locally through a Local Underwriting and Reserving Solvency II P&C policy. Local Chief Risk Officers ensure that the Local Underwriting and Reserving Solvency II P&C policy, approved by the Local Risk Committee, complies with the AXA Group policy.

In addition, a dedicated team within Group Risk Management analyses Emerging Risks (often related to long term ESG issues) via a specific framework, tools and local network in order to monitor their materiality and manage their potential impact on the AXA Group in the next 5 to 10 years. Regular reviews and in-depth analyses of emerging risk topics are shared with the Group-wide Emerging Risks community.

Corporate Responsibility

AXA has established a robust governance framework to develop and implement its CR Strategy, including its climate, ESG, investment, and insurance underwriting dimensions. Every year, the Board of Directors’ Compensation and Governance Committee examines the Group’s CR strategy and reports to the Board of Directors on this matter. Moreover, the Group Management Committee reviews the CR strategy at least once a year. On a local entity level, a network of “Chief Corporate Responsibility Officers” is responsible for coordinating the CR strategy and promoting best practices. The CCROs are supported by local CR teams.

Between 2014 and 2020, AXA also leveraged its Stakeholder Advisory Panel(1) to advance the Company’s role as an insurer in building a stronger, safer and more sustainable society.

As required by the EU “Non-Financial Directive”, AXA conducts an annual internal risk assessment to identify its main sustainability risks, grouped into the following main categories: social risks, human rights risks, environmental risks and risks related to business conduct. This process is further described in our Annual Report’s chapter 7.

More generally, AXA has developed a culture of stakeholder dialogue by working closely with a number of civil society partners, with a view to strengthening its understanding of evolving sustainability issues.

Audit Risk & Compliance Committee

See “Risk Management” section.

Investments

In 2010, AXA created a Group-level Responsible Investment Committee (RIC), chaired by the Group Chief Investment Officer, and including representatives from AXA Asset Management entities, Corporate Responsibility, Risk Management and Communications. The RIC reports to the Group Investment Committee, co-chaired by the Group’s Chief Financial Officer and Chief Risk and Investment Officer. However, sensitive and/or strategic climate finance-related decisions debated in the RIC are ultimately approved by the RISSC. In addition, the “ESG Footprint Committee” – bringing together the Group’s Investment Department, local insurance entities, the Group’s asset managers and Group CR – reviews risks posed by companies or sectors presenting a low ESG performance and/or serious and persistent controversies, and their impact on financial performance and credit quality. It reviews issuers/industries in which AXA has invested, from a pure ESG perspective. It can decide on specific follow-up actions.

AXA’s RI policy is supported by the RI Center of Expertise, a transversal working group from AXA’s local investment teams interacting with the CR network and the Group’s Asset Management entities. AXA IM has also developed a dedicated RI Governance involving all its central management teams and investment platforms.

4. TCFD guidance: Strategy, Metrics & Targets\(^{(1)}\)

**Responsible Investment strategy**

AXA defines Responsible Investment as the integration of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) considerations into investment processes, including ownership practices. AXA’s conviction is that ESG integration may impact long-term investment performance by offering an enhanced understanding of risk drivers. This conviction is derived from academic research and empirical market data. AXA’s Responsible Investment Policy, which was revamped in March 2020, is available at: www.axa.com/en/page/responsible-investment

AXA’s RI strategy is based on the six main pillars below, which are developed in more detail in the following pages.

**ESG integration**

**ESG-integrated internal credit rating as the foundation of our Responsible Investment strategy**

AXA Group has made ESG a cornerstone of its investment strategy thanks to a complete integration of ESG considerations into its qualitative credit assessment and investment processes since 2015 (see below). In 2019, AXA IM’s credit research team adopted a similar approach.

AXA Group Credit Research Team assigns internal credit ratings ("ICRs") and manages issuer eligibility for Fixed Income investments. ICRs cover more than 85% of AXA Group’s credit portfolio. For the remaining part, no ICRs are assigned. Ratings from external Credit Rating agencies are taken into consideration and a review is also performed by AXA IM. When performing a credit review and assigning an ICR, the AXA Group Credit Research Team assesses several credit factors related to an issuer’s business and financial profiles (below). Each factor is assessed relatively to a peer group within the same industry/geographical area.

---

\(^{(1)}\) AXA’s new (11/2019) phase in its climate strategy is based on several quantitative targets as well as significant developments around innovative metrics. As a result, in 2020, AXA has chosen to combine the TCFD “Strategy” and “Metrics & Targets” sections for improved readability.
The ESG & transparency factor is a key factor but not markedly different from the other credit factors considered when forming a credit opinion and assigning an internal rating. In some cases, it can be an overriding factor. It should be noted that the AXA Group Credit Research Team assesses the materiality of ESG considerations on an issuers’ creditworthiness and does not perform an ethics-based ESG analysis. Credit analysts evaluate how ESG considerations contribute to an issuer’s market position, revenues, profitability, capex and cash flow, etc.; each analyst evaluates which criteria are the most meaningful, observable and material by sector.

However, the ESG & transparency factor differ from other factors in a number of ways. First, when material, it generally impacts other factors (e.g. strategy, market position, financial factors). It also often differs from other factors in terms of time horizon. It can be material within our usual rating horizon (around two years) and thus impact the ICR like any other factor but the ESG & transparency factor can also have a longer horizon before it potentially materializes and impacts creditworthiness. In such cases, the ICR may not fully incorporate ESG-related risks/opportunities but the AXA Group Credit Research Team can still take other actions such as proposing to stop investing or imposing maturity constraints. Those decisions are then implemented by asset managers investing on behalf of AXA Group in fully controlled mandates.

The AXA Group, through its Group Credit Research Team, is a member of the UN PRI’s Advisory Committee on Credit Ratings (“ESG integration in credit”), aiming to enhance the transparent and systematic integration of ESG factors in credit risk analysis.

### Example

Assessment of the credit impact from ESG factors on Integrated Oil & Gas companies. Our analysis is based on six core dimensions:

- **Emissions Management**: Companies’ inherent environmental exposure can increase operational risks. Emissions management can help avoiding high legal and settlements costs and limiting stranded assets risks;
- **Water Management**: The sector reliance on water may expose companies to the risk of reduced water availability, regulation limiting usage, or related cost increases. Water management can help avoiding such costs;
- **Adapted Business Model**: Developing resilient asset portfolio and adapting business models to align with a low-carbon energy transition will be key for companies. This can enhance profitability through establishing a competitive advantage for obtaining new market share;
- **Safety Management**: As exploration and production activities can have significant impacts on local environment, safety management can decrease operational risks (production stoppage) and help avoiding significant environmental remediations, government fines and lawsuits;
- **Social Cohesion**: Support from local communities and governments as well as human rights compliance are key for avoiding operational and reputational risks and for maintaining licenses to operate;
- **Governance and Ethical Culture**: Effective governance structures and internal controls are critical for companies to mitigate risks associated with bribery and corruption.

### Quantitative ESG-specific tools adapted for each asset class

In addition to the full integration performed by the AXA Group Credit Research Team and AXA IM’s credit research team, AXA also uses KPIs and quantitative research across most of our assets.

Quantitative ESG-specific tools adapted for each asset class. This process includes alerts on ESG “minimum standards” rules based on KPIs, ESG scores and controversy scores to review and potentially exclude underperforming issuers from AXA’s portfolios.

AXA tracks its investments’ ESG performance in detail by leveraging AXA IM’s tools. AXA IM launched a proprietary ESG scoring tool in 2007 which now provides access to a wide range of quantitative extra-financial data and analysis on issuers’ ESG factors across asset classes. It is used both for AXA’s General Accounts assets and third-party assets. Its breadth enables Asset Management teams (portfolio managers, fund managers and analysts) to further incorporate ESG considerations into their investment decisions.

This tool, which provides ESG scores and key performance indicators (such as carbon footprint, water intensity) based on the ESG framework per asset class described below, is fed by information collected from the major expert sources in ESG analysis. The tool covers more than 8,000+ companies and provides ESG data on 100 governments worldwide. It offers quantitative information to help portfolio managers in their investment decision, along with the qualitative research available to them.

The ESG methodology is adapted to different asset classes by applying a different framework on corporate issuers, sovereign issuers and real assets, as described in the following page.
AXA IM’s RI Front Office Tool has a complete range of functionalities, enabling portfolio managers to utilize it during the different phases of the investment decision-making process.

Corporates issuers (equity and debt)

AXA IM’s ESG tool emphasizes impact and materiality. It draws on fundamental principles, such as the United Nations Global Compact, the OECD Guidelines, the International Labour Organisation conventions, and other international principles and conventions that guide companies’ activities in the field of sustainable development and social responsibility. The same analysis methodology is applied to the equities and corporate bonds of all companies. The final ESG score also incorporates the concept of industry-dependent factors and deliberately differentiates between sectors, to overweight the most material factors for each industry. Materiality is not limited to impacts relating to a company’s operations, it also includes the impacts of its stakeholders and the underlying reputational risk arising from a poor grasp of major ESG issues. ESG-related controversies are also analysed, with the most material controversies (for example resulting in fines) automatically resulting in a lower ESG score. Companies’ ESG evaluations are updated every six months. The list of criteria and sectorial weighting matrix that apply to the various ESG sub-criteria are regularly reviewed for a better grasp of the most significant issues for each sector. As of 2019, 89% of corporate equities and 95% of corporate bonds in the portfolio are covered by ESG scoring.
Sovereign issuers

AXA’s ESG scoring framework for countries is based on public data sources such as the World Bank, the OECD, and the UN. It currently covers more than 100 countries, consisting of a spectrum of mature and emerging economies. This approach places the notion of sustainable development at the heart of ESG country assessments by analyzing countries’ positioning on fundamental issues with regards to major climatic, social, and political risks. This is carried out by internalizing the progress made by each nation on long-term sustainability topics. Implicit sustainability biases introduced by varying degrees of country constraints are addressed in this process wherein selection criteria are adapted to the level of the countries’ maturity and development. As of 2019, 99% of sovereign bonds in the portfolio are covered by ESG scoring.

Real Assets

AXA has developed specific tools to assess the ESG performance of our Real Assets (Real Estate, Commercial Real Estate Debt and Infrastructure Debt, as well as, more recently, Infrastructure Equity):

› ESG scoring: Real Assets uses proprietary methodologies for calculating an ESG score for each asset or loan across our business. We use a questionnaire to identify the risks, performance and opportunities of each asset, while taking sector-specific ESG issues into account. A detailed written explanation is required to be provided by the investment team for any new investment proposal which scores below 2/10, and a remediation plan is required to be in place for any standing asset with an annual score below 2/10. As of 2019, 74%, 64% and 100% of Real Estate, Commercial Real Estate Loans and Infrastructure Debt respectively in the portfolio are covered by ESG scoring. All new developments AXA entities participate in are covered for ESG analysis and qualify for Green investments;

› ESG Guides: for our Direct Real Estate investments, guidebooks and action plan templates have been developed by AXA IM. These tools help teams implement meaningful asset and sector appropriate action plans and initiatives to improve performance;

› Benchmarks: We use both asset level certifications and fund level benchmarking to assess our performance. Asset-specific environmental certifications (such as BREEAM, LEED, or NABERS) allow us to benchmark real estate performance at an asset level. Fund performance is assessed using the annual GRESB survey for many of our real estate and infrastructure funds;

Climate scenario analysis & stress-testing

A rising regulatory concern

Assessing the risks and opportunities related to climate change through scenario analysis is a rising priority across the financial services industry. There is an increased interest and attention from insurance supervisors and industry bodies to start developing scenario analysis and stress testing, which can enrich our overall understanding and assessment of climate change-related risks. The development of climate stress testing for insurers is still at an early stage and raises challenges from a methodological standpoint.

While the section below focuses solely on climate scenario analysis from an investment perspective, it is worth noting that AXA closely monitors regulatory developments and participates in various regulatory and industry working groups related to the assessment of climate change risks for insurers, both in terms of assets and liabilities:

› EIOPA is pursuing its work to assess key financial risks embedded in insurers’ asset portfolios in relation to the transition to a low-carbon economy. A secondary objective is to assess additional risks related to claims inflation and insurance availability in increased natural catastrophe scenarios associated with climate change;

› AXA actively contributes to the Climate & Sustainable Finance Commissions created in 2019 by the French Prudential Authority (ACPR) and Autorité des marchés financiers (AMF). These “sister” commissions strive to evaluate the impact of climate change on insurers’ (ACPR) and asset managers’ (AMF) business, notably by exploring climate stress-testing models. A pilot exercise is set to take place in 2020, with results expected to be published in April 2021. They also investigate related matters including non-financial reporting, divestment criteria, impacts of the EU Taxonomy, etc., in relation with other regulators;

› the Bank of England is also working on proposals to stress test the financial stability implications of climate change. The objective of their biennial exploratory scenario (BES) is to test the resilience of the largest banks and insurers to the physical and transition risks associated with different possible climate scenarios, and more broadly the financial system’s exposure to climate-related risks.

ESG analysis coverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corporate equities</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate debt</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sovereign debt</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. TCFD guidance: Strategy, Metrics & Targets
Achieving Carbon neutrality or “net zero” emissions requires striking a balance between anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks. To reach this target, the world will have phased out most CO₂ emissions and will be employing methods that capture and store the remaining low levels of emissions (“offsetting”) as well as the CO₂ in the atmosphere from the build-up of historical emissions. Green technologies are instrumental in achieving this decarbonization pathway. The COP21 national commitments (“Nationally Determined Contributions”) describe such decarbonization pathways. Of note, most of the current NDCs have 2020 to 2030 “deadlines”, but 2050 NDCs are scaled back to 2030 (using a linear approach) in our modelling in the following pages.

The 2018 “UNEP Emissions Gap” report estimates that implementing the unconditional NDCs would lead to a mean global temperature of around 3.2°C, and analysts estimate that a “BAU world” (NDCs not implemented) would produce at least +4°C by 2100.

While countries need to raise the bar of their current carbon pledges, the IPCC 1.5°C report published in 2018 also highlighted nations would have to target a 1.5°C scenario rather than a 2°C scenario to avoid unprecedented damages for biodiversity, human beings and the economy. This requires reducing carbon emissions by 45% by 2030 compared to 2010 levels and achieving carbon neutrality by 2050.

The TCFD recommendations specifically state that organizations consider a set of scenarios, including a “2°C or lower” scenario, in reference to the 2015 Paris Agreement. The starting point for the analysis is to identify which scenarios will be used to assess potential implications of climate change on investments.

Source: IPCC 1.5°C report, AXA IM 2019.
What is a climate scenario?

A climate scenario is a forecast of the future based on projecting several variables, such as greenhouse gas emissions, cost and assimilation of technology, economic growth, demographics, use of “carbon sinks” (e.g., Carbon Capture & Storage). They lead to outcomes such as how much temperatures will rise and what this level of global warming will result in for the environment, society and the economy.

Scenarios often used by investors and companies are developed by the IPCC and the International Energy Agency (IEA). There are other scenarios developed by non-governmental organisations such as Greenpeace, academics such as Potsdam Institute, commercial data providers such as Bloomberg and energy players such as BP, Royal Dutch Shell and Equinor. Oil & gas companies within the energy sector, including BP, Royal Dutch Shell and Equinor, have also developed scenarios which are generally close to the IEA scenarios.

Scenarios are a necessary simplification of real life which only focus on key variables and cannot integrate adequately changes which can be surprising, unexpected or disruptive. Most climate scenarios assume a future world in which the main economic parameters – GDP growth rates, demographics, political control – are close to the ones we experience now, which is questionable. The current Covid crisis shows change can be deep and abrupt indeed.

Most “below 2°C” scenarios however require a rapid and radical shift in the energy supply and demand, such as a sharp decrease in fossil fuels, with coal and oil being squeezed out while gas remains in use. Renewable energy (wind, solar and biomass) increase significantly, and nuclear usually remains a key part of the future energy mix. But they remain elusive on social conditions, relative costs and technological developments to achieve such energy mix shifts. This is why Integrated Assessment Models are needed.

Companies face planning challenges from the complexity and uncertainties of climate change. Addressing these challenges requires forward-looking planning processes and tools effective under conditions of uncertainty. Scenario analysis can be an effective tool for dealing with this uncertainty. It can inform strategic management by revealing the range of plausible futures facing a company, and guide strategy development by identifying dynamics, trends, opportunities or threats that a company may not have previously considered.
Integrated Assessment Models (IAMs): going beyond energy supply mix

Climate change IAMs bring together different types of information in a coherent framework that is usable by researchers and decision makers to answer questions such as “how can the world meet the 1.5°C or 2°C targets?”, and not just “how much emissions decrease is required and where?”.

IAMs can thus inform judgments about the relative value of options for dealing with climate change. The links between the various climate and non-climate modules that are inherently built into complex IAMs allow them to explore cascading effects, and how decisions in one area of the economy can affect other areas.

This allows, for example, to see how population and GDP growth could impact the demand for electricity and how that demand could be met in an optimal way by either maximizing the social impact or by minimizing costs.

By using models developed by our partner Carbon Delta (see section “Warming potential”), AXA leverages three specific IAMs (1) (3°C, 2°C and 1.5°C variants) and tested their respective impacts on investment value.

Indicators such as population, economic growth, low carbon technology use, energy system information, and land cover are used in the IAM scenarios and modify the outputs of these models. In particular:

- **Demographics** – In the 2°C and 1.5°C scenarios, population growth assumptions are still significant but are lower than in the BAU scenarios (current policies). Population growth starts to decrease in the middle of the century. As a result, all the scenarios project population levels slightly under the UN median projection, with a deviation starting between 2030 and 2040. Most of the scenarios project a stabilization of the population growth around the middle of the century and a global population at the end of the century of about 9 billion people;

- **GDP Growth** – For the first decade, the three scenarios project about the same GDP growth with a divergence starting around 2030. All the scenarios are forecasted to grow at a constant yet lesser rate during the same time period. Most of the scenarios project less growth and lower end of century GDP levels than the OECD GDP forecast for the world, evidencing the difficulties in fully decoupling growth from carbon emissions;

- **Energy Mix** – All scenarios project a major increase in installed renewable capacity by 2050. Also, the 2018 IPCC “Special 1.5°C Report” is based on four scenarios (achieving 1.5°C) which require the share of nuclear energy to increase by up to 501% by 2050 vs 2010. There are no perfect solutions, but some options are more optimal than others in terms of GHG abatement.

The resulting greenhouse gas emissions are modelled in the diagram below.

---

(1) These scenarios use a model developed by the Japanese National Institute for Environmental Studies which features detailed data regarding technology factors, a key component of Carbon Delta’s work. These are close to the IPCC “Shared Socio-Economic Pathways” (SSPs) scenarios.
A diversity of climate-related metrics

Building on this approach to scenario analysis, AXA has tested different approaches to analyzing the “climate dynamics” of its investments since 2016. Building on previous efforts, and as described in the table below, AXA has deepened its work by asset class, based on methodologies provided by external climate risk experts (Carbon Delta, Beyond Ratings, Trucost), while also using internal “NatCat” models to cover our Real Assets investments. See compiled data table at the end of this section. This work covers two broad areas: “transition risk” and “physical risks”, as defined by the TCFD.

How are “transition” and “physical” climate risks defined?

According to the TCFD, companies and investors may face “transition” and “physical” risks:

- **Transitioning** to a lower-carbon economy may entail extensive policy, legal, technology, and market changes to address mitigation and adaptation requirements related to climate change. Depending on the nature, speed, and focus of these changes, transition risks may pose varying levels of financial and reputational risk to organizations.

- **Physical** risks resulting from climate change can be event-driven (acute) or longer-term shifts (chronic) in climate patterns. Physical risks may have financial implications for organizations, such as direct damage to assets and indirect impacts from supply chain disruption. Organizations’ financial performance may also be affected by changes in water availability, sourcing, and quality; food security; and extreme temperature changes affecting organizations’ premises, operations, supply chain, transport needs, and employee safety.

These definitions are used by numerous organizations, and frequently referred to in this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA PARTNER</th>
<th>ASSET CLASS</th>
<th>METRIC</th>
<th>WHAT DO WE MEASURE?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEYOND RATINGS</td>
<td>SOVEREIGN DEBT</td>
<td>Carbon intensity</td>
<td>Carbon Footprint, expressed in T eq.CO2/GDP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warming Potential</td>
<td>Contribution to global warming, expressed in temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARBON DELTA</td>
<td>CORPORATE BONDS &amp; EQUITY</td>
<td>Warming Potential</td>
<td>Contribution to global warming, expressed in temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical risks costs</td>
<td>Impact of extreme weather events (asset damages and business interruption), expressed in % of revenues affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transition risks costs</td>
<td>Impact of CO2 emissions reduction, expressed in % of revenues affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Green revenues</td>
<td>Future green revenues, expressed in % of revenues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Risk Management</td>
<td>REAL ASSETS</td>
<td>Physical risks costs</td>
<td>Building-level impacts of extreme weather events, expressed in €m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CORPOREAL BONDS, EQUITY, SOVEREIGN DEBT, REAL ASSETS</td>
<td>Carbon intensity</td>
<td>Carbon Footprint of AXA’s portfolio, expressed in T eq.CO2/m$ of revenues.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: AXA.
Climate-related impact assessment: “portfolio alignment” & warming potential

AXA’s commitment to achieve climate investment neutrality by 2050 and how to monitor progress using new “temperature” metrics.

As highlighted in this report’s introduction and “Scenario Analysis” section, the Paris Agreement’s goal to contain global warming below 2°C invites all market participants to reorient “finance flows” in line with this target. The TCFD guidelines expect “asset owners to describe how they consider the positioning of their total portfolio with respect to the transition to a lower-carbon economy”. In France, Article 173 requires certain investors (notably Asset Owners and Asset Managers) to explain how they contribute to the goal of limiting global warming, and indeed encourages these investors to set targets relating to this goal and explain how these targets relate to “international agreements to reduce global warming”. More generally, many stakeholders are expecting the finance industry’s contribution to the climate debate to embrace the concept of “Paris-aligned investments”. The answers so far have taken various shapes, such as carbon footprinting (which is not forward-looking), divestments (which only focus on the most carbon-intensive sectors), green investments (which are challenging to bring to scale and often overlook “transition” sectors) or shareholder engagement (with results that are sometimes difficult to measure).

This is why more and more investors are turning towards new types of analyses and corresponding metrics that seek to complement these efforts, while also presenting a more insightful response into what it means to be a “Paris-aligned” investor – and notably factoring the COP-related NDCs. AXA investigates the concept of “warming potential” in the following pages as a promising approach.

“Warming Potential” methodology

As explained above, the concept of “portfolio alignment” with the Paris Agreement requires testing innovative forward-looking metrics. Since 2018, AXA leverages a “transition risk” model developed by Swiss environmental fintech Carbon Delta (recently acquired by MSCI) which produces the “Warming Potential” (WP) metric expressed in terms of temperature. Its modelling approach combines top-down data and bottom-up economic and company data to establish a forward-looking climate-related set of metrics.

Indeed the WP methodology relies on a top-down approach based on:

- country-level “Paris Agreement” commitments projecting carbon intensities to 2030 (the horizon of the NDCs presented to the COP21);
- gaps between NDCs and carbon emissions budget associated to various temperature scenarios (according to the UNEP Gap report);
- company-level business mix structures by sectors and countries;
- company-level current carbon intensities (scope 1 only)\(^1\) and R&D in green technologies/products;
- R&D in green technologies/products highlighting “transition” opportunities.

One of the key features of Carbon Delta’s approach is to correlate macro level “carbon budgets” (describing carbon emissions and sinks at the global level) with companies (as individual carbon emitters) depending on their geographic footprint and sector, as well as business mix. This “temperature” concept provides a measure of the gap between future carbon pledges and science-based emissions budget still available before global warming increases.

By working with Carbon Delta, AXA developed a more balanced Warming Potential approach considering both companies’ absolute and sector-relative contributions to global warming. This combines a so-called “sector-specific” approach (which takes into account regulatory perspectives of a given country on its economic sector), and a “sector-agnostic” approach (which is based on an absolute emissions intensity view, regardless of sectors or the functioning of an economy). The figures disclosed in this report combine and average both approaches to retain an emphasis on emissions reductions from carbon-intensive sectors (this reflects the “sector-specific” bias), while still ensuring that all other sectors are expected to contribute to the transition to a low-carbon economy (“sector-agnostic” bias). This deliberate methodological choice factors both the sectors’ relative contributions to climate mitigation as well as individual companies’ best practices within their respective industries to curb their carbon emissions. Indeed, AXA believes that each player in the global economy should have a responsibility to support the low carbon transition, and in turn, investors who are committed to support the energy transition should have a responsibility to identify, within each sector, the companies best prepared for this transition – as described in this report. More detailed methodology descriptions are available on Carbon Delta’s website.

\(^1\) This limitation is caused by data coverage regarding Scope 2 and 3 data. However, on a large portfolio level, this also reduces emissions overlap issues.
AXA’s Warming Potential: 2019 Corporate Results

Based on the methodology described above, AXA updated its analysis of the “warming potential” (WP) of its investments, both for Corporate securities (debt and equities, using Carbon Delta) and sovereign debt issuers (using Beyond Ratings). A brief analysis provides the following insights.

Corporates: a wide sector diversity

AXA’s equity warming potential slightly decreased from 3.26°C to 3.21°C between 2018 and 2019, our corporate debt WP decreased from 2.93°C to 2.79°C and our aggregate corporate equity & debt WP decreased from 2.96°C to 2.83°C – while a broad benchmark on the same universe increased from 3.05°C to 3.07°C. This shows that AXA’s corporate investments warming potential has decreased while the economy into which we invest has increased slightly. These results are encouraging, although it would be unwise to draw short-term conclusions from small variations on evolving metrics that bear most relevance in a long-term horizon. This also shows that these figures are still significantly above 2°C, which confirms that with today’s public policies and business environment, and according to the “warming potential” approach tested here, AXA’s operating investment universe is not aligned with the 2°C trajectory agreed during COP21.

A sector-level analysis comparing AXA’s warming potential vs benchmark provides further insights.

3.2°C

AXA’s 2019 equity assets warming potential

2.8°C

AXA’s corporate debt assets warming potential

According to this work, the equity diagram reveals that AXA’s investments tend to have a lower temperature than the benchmark on carbon-intensive sectors: Basic materials, Utilities, Diversified and Energy. This is particularly significant on Energy sector where AXA’s investments have a lower Warming Potential by more than 1°C. On the other hand, the Warming Potential on Industrial and Financials is higher compared to the benchmark. A similar trend is evidenced for Corporate debt, albeit with a lower magnitude. This difference is caused by debt and equity universes being different geographically. In Fixed Income, emerging markets and US high yield segments weigh on the warming potential whereas in Equities, European markets perform better thanks in part to tighter carbon policies.

How can a large asset owner like AXA influence its corporate warming potential, bearing in mind the numerous regulatory and fiduciary constraints to which an insurer’s investments are subject? We believe that there is still room for action for investors, and AXA has acted. For example, our analysis shows that AXA’s climate-related divestments (coal, oil sands), in accordance with AXA’s RI Policy, have reduced the warming potential of our corporate holdings, as the “warmest” sectors (Utilities, Materials, Energy) are now underweighted in terms of asset allocation. Indeed, the average warming potential of AXA’s coal and oil sands exclusion list reaches 4.6°C (including the “smoothing” effect on temperature caused by combining sector “agnostic” and “specific” models). Conversely, AXA’s Green Investment target, initiated in 2015 (see following section) pushed our investment teams to overweight “green” issuances.

However, coal divestment and green investments only slightly reduced AXA’s warming potential. Indeed they concern only...
a small fraction of AXA’s overall corporate investments, and divestment has a gradual impact as coal and oil sands debt assets are run off over the course of several years. This is why this decision alone is insufficient to bring AXA’s warming potential significantly below its benchmark, and a more comprehensive approach, including all industry participants, is required.

4.6°C
AXA’s divested coal assets warming potential

8%
The likely share of gas in the 2050 energy mix needed to achieve 1.5°C by 2010

0%
The share for coal-based power in 1.5°C scenarios by 2010

The key role of the power sector: from carbon budgets to a targeted energy mix.

As analysed by the IPCC(1), the current climate pledges (NDCs) are broadly consistent with a warming of 3.2°C by 2100, and CO₂ emissions show no sign of stabilising yet. To achieve a “1.5°C world” two conditions must be met.

1) Shifting the energy mix. In the power sector, low-carbon energy sources (nuclear and renewables) will need to supply 70% to 85% of power by 2050. There is still room for fossil fuel generation combined with technology to capture and store CO₂, but it will be limited: around 8% for gas and close to zero for coal by 2050. Nuclear power, which is a low carbon, dispatchable and baseload form of energy, can be used as a backup for intermittent renewables, and a substitute to coal in many 2°C and 1.5°C pathways, often requiring an expansion of nuclear fleets in various IPCC scenarios.

2) Shifting energy demand. Industry will need to reduce CO₂ emissions by 75-90% by 2050 compared to 2010. Clean electrification, alternative low-carbon fuel sources and CCS will be needed, as energy and process efficiency in industry by themselves are insufficient. Buildings and transport will need to shift heavily towards green electricity. 1.5°C warming scenarios will rely on bioenergy and/or expansion of forests, potentially conflicting with demands for pasture and arable land. Sustainable agriculture and less resource-intensive diets can compensate these impacts to some extent.

Sovereign debt warming potential methodology

AXA evaluates the warming potential of its Sovereign Debt assets using a different climate data partner (Beyond Ratings, recently acquired by the London Stock Exchange). Beyond Ratings follows an approach which is similar to that of Carbon Delta: it compares the future carbon abatement commitments that Governments made towards the Paris Agreement’s “carbon budget”, associating a theoretical temperature to national carbon pledges. Beyond Ratings has developed an approach inferring “2°C” compliant carbon budgets by countries by relying on the so-called “Kaya relationship” between Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions, GDP growth, demography, energy efficiency and carbon intensity.

NDCs that have been expressed in the Paris Agreement are used to build a homogeneous allocation of CO₂ emissions reduction commitments by countries by 2030. Country-level carbon intensities are then compared to 2°C compliant carbon intensities. More generally, using the theoretical linear relationship between carbon emissions and temperature rise, Beyond Ratings defines a corresponding temperature based on country-level 2030 carbon commitment intensities.

Context Box

As analysed by the IPCC(1), the current climate pledges (NDCs) are broadly consistent with a warming of 3.2°C by 2100, and CO₂ emissions show no sign of stabilising yet. To achieve a “1.5°C world” two conditions must be met.

1) Shifting the energy mix. In the power sector, low-carbon energy sources (nuclear and renewables) will need to supply 70% to 85% of power by 2050. There is still room for fossil fuel generation combined with technology to capture and store CO₂, but it will be limited: around 8% for gas and close to zero for coal by 2050. Nuclear power, which is a low carbon, dispatchable and baseload form of energy, can be used as a backup for intermittent renewables, and a substitute to coal in many 2°C and 1.5°C pathways, often requiring an expansion of nuclear fleets in various IPCC scenarios.

2) Shifting energy demand. Industry will need to reduce CO₂ emissions by 75-90% by 2050 compared to 2010. Clean electrification, alternative low-carbon fuel sources and CCS will be needed, as energy and process efficiency in industry by themselves are insufficient. Buildings and transport will need to shift heavily towards green electricity. 1.5°C warming scenarios will rely on bioenergy and/or expansion of forests, potentially conflicting with demands for pasture and arable land. Sustainable agriculture and less resource-intensive diets can compensate these impacts to some extent.

(1) Special report on Global Warming of 1.5°C.
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NDCs that have been expressed in the Paris Agreement are used to build a homogeneous allocation of CO₂ emissions reduction commitments by countries by 2030. Country-level carbon intensities are then compared to 2°C compliant carbon intensities. More generally, using the theoretical linear relationship between carbon emissions and temperature rise, Beyond Ratings defines a corresponding temperature based on country-level 2030 carbon commitment intensities.
Sovereign debt warming potential 2019 results

Based on this model, the warming potential of AXA’s sovereign debt in 2019 reaches 2.8°C. Here also AXA’s warming potential has slightly decreased (3.0°C in 2018) while our benchmark slightly increased. This figure is significantly lower than the widely used market reference (4.04°C) thanks in part to our investment strategy and also to our strong exposure to the EU (over 60%), where economies tend to display a relatively low carbon intensity in their energy mix (note: they nonetheless appear as requiring “higher than global average effort” in the above diagram, reflecting stronger expectations from developed compared to emerging countries). The use of low carbon energy sources like nuclear, hydropower, wind and solar results in a lower warming potential through lower carbon intensity. This is described in the adjacent table. Again, a closer analysis reveals wide disparities in terms of warming potential amongst sovereign issuers, according to this approach.

Again, a closer analysis reveals wide disparities in terms of warming potential amongst sovereign issuers, according to this approach.

Sovereign allocation breakdown and Warming Potential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AXA Sovereign debt</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2019</strong></td>
<td>Weight [%]</td>
<td>Warming Potential [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other countries</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmapped</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>n.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.8°C
AXA’s Sovereign debt warming potential

4°C
AXA’s sovereign debt benchmark warming potential
What situation does this aggregate figure reveal? As of 2019, 23% of AXA's sovereign securities are invested in an issuer that has a WP below 2°C – i.e. France – significantly contributing to lowering our aggregated warming potential, while the benchmark is much less exposed to this issuer. France's low WP is largely driven down by a high proportion of low carbon sources of energy, thanks to a strong reliance on nuclear and hydro power (but a relatively low share of wind and solar), which ensure lower carbon intensity in downstream activities. Switzerland is similarly positioned with a low carbon energy mix based on nuclear and renewables. Conversely, among developed countries, the “warmest” sovereign investment in AXA's portfolio are Australia, the USA and Canada with a warming potential of 5°C and above, driven by high dependency on fossil fuels for primary energy. Japan is a similar case, as since 2012, its nuclear energy supply has been phased out and gradually substituted by a combination of coal and natural gas, leading to a significant “brown share”. In short, AXA has chosen to overweight France (1.9°) and underweight US (5.5°) and Japanese debt (3.5°), with a positive effect on its sovereign debt WP.

This analysis can serve as proxy indicators for transition risk & opportunities. Indeed, countries with a “cooler” WP are in principle on the way to successfully decoupling carbon emissions from economic activities, reducing the emissions of downstream sectors, and thus minimizing general exposure to regulatory costs related to carbon in the jurisdictions where they operate.

Considering AXA’s sovereign geographic exposure to the EU, a reduction in AXA’s sovereign WP will need to rely heavily on the phase out of coal in Europe and a corresponding rise in renewables and nuclear (e.g. France, UK). This is particularly relevant to AXA’s lending to Germany and Italy given their share of AXA’s asset allocation. Although not the largest coal producers in the EU nor Switzerland is similarly positioned with a low carbon energy mix based on nuclear and renewables. Conversely, among developed countries, the “warmest” sovereign investment in AXA’s portfolio are Australia, the USA and Canada with a warming potential of 5°C and above, driven by high dependency on fossil fuels for primary energy. Japan is a similar case, as since 2012, its nuclear energy supply has been phased out and gradually substituted by a combination of coal and natural gas, leading to a significant “brown share”. In short, AXA has chosen to overweight France (1.9°) and underweight US (5.5°) and Japanese debt (3.5°), with a positive effect on its sovereign debt WP.

This analysis can serve as proxy indicators for transition risk & opportunities. Indeed, countries with a “cooler” WP are in principle on the way to successfully decoupling carbon emissions from economic activities, reducing the emissions of downstream sectors, and thus minimizing general exposure to regulatory costs related to carbon in the jurisdictions where they operate.

Considering AXA’s sovereign geographic exposure to the EU, a reduction in AXA’s sovereign WP will need to rely heavily on the phase out of coal in Europe and a corresponding rise in renewables and nuclear (e.g. France, UK). This is particularly relevant to AXA’s lending to Germany and Italy given their share of AXA’s asset allocation. Although not the largest coal producers in the EU nor

The main objective of the warming potential metric, which still requires getting certainty that tested methodologies are robust enough, is to provide a “science-based” reference point showing the extent to which today's markets reflect a course that is not on track to reach the goals set under the Paris Agreement.

Prudence must be exerted when analyzing these figures, as the underlying methodologies are still evolving (see work on this matter in following section “Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance”). Yet, according to these metrics, given AXA’s current asset allocation and issuer selection, our investments support a rise in global temperature of almost 3°C, well above the Paris Agreement’s objectives.

Five years after the inception of the Paris Agreement, and a few months ahead of COP26, this work confirms that the world’s economies are not yet “Paris-aligned” and implementing the 2015 NDCs would not even be sufficient to achieve this target. Even the Covid crisis, which has pushed the world’s economy to an unprecedented halt, confined half of the world’s population, and will lead to a record decrease in carbon emissions in 2020, is insufficient to achieve the goals of the Paris Agreement. A fundamental reorganization is required to decouple wealth creation and welfare from carbon emissions, which is also why a “green recovery”, as outlined in this report’s opening statements, is absolutely essential. Failing this will derail any remaining chance of achieving the Paris Agreement, let alone the next ramped-up “Glasgow Agreement”.

In this context, while investors can reorient some capital flows, for example via divestments and sector reallocations, they remain largely dependent on a broader investment universe which evidences how economies are “trapped” into carbon intensive pathways. In a nutshell, the concept of “investment portfolio alignment” requires a far broader multi-stakeholder effort that investors alone cannot achieve. This is the purpose of the new “Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance”.

(1) UNEP Gap report 2018: “Implementing the unconditional NDCs would lead to a mean global temperature of around 3.2°C.”
Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance and TCFD “Implied Temperature Rise”

Despite the caveats outlined above, AXA believes that the warming potential (and other “investment temperature” variants), which is a forward-looking and dynamic concept, is a relevant answer to the need for portfolio alignment. It is akin to a “projected carbon footprint” but avoids the pitfalls of traditional carbon footprinting which favours “instant decarbonization”, itself not compatible with the need to finance transition efforts.

This is why, following extensive methodology testing since 2017 and described in this report’s previous section, in November 2019 AXA decided to align its investments with the Paris Agreement, thereby committing to achieve a 1.5°C “Warming Potential” by 2050. This target can also be described as “carbon neutrality” since the Paris Agreement’s aim to stabilize carbon emissions by 2050 requires containing global warming below +1.5°C vs pre-industrial levels within this century.

Our conviction is that tackling climate change requires a broad transition effort that investors alone cannot achieve.

All sectors and companies have a responsibility to evolve while factoring social and business impacts, and it is the responsibility of investors to identify and support, for example through engagement, relevant transition strategies while factoring the risk of financial losses.

We thus undertake this commitment in the expectation that governments will implement their own NDC commitments, and have joined and support the “Net Zero Asset Owner Alliance” (AOA), which is precisely designed for this agenda.

The AOA is an international group of institutional investors with a commitment to transitioning their investment portfolios to net-zero GHG emissions by 2050 consistent with a maximum temperature rise of 1.5°C above pre-industrial temperatures, taking into account the best available scientific knowledge, and regularly reporting on progress, including establishing intermediate targets every five years. The metric for reporting against progress will be based on GHG emissions and “investment temperature” methodologies. The AOA’s shareholder engagement efforts will be key to achieve the “real-world” transformation pushed by its members’ climate neutrality commitments.

In order for us to monitor progress and report against this 1.5°C target, the members of the AOA express a need to develop robust measurement methodologies. While various solutions, such as the warming potential work explored in this report, already exist, more convergence is needed. Indeed, AXA tested four different “investment temperature” providers on a sample of 13 companies from various sectors, and the results in the table below show a wide disparity of results. This stems both from data source type and methodology assumptions differences.
A call for methodology convergence

Rather than disproving the concept of investment temperature, AXA believes that this situation calls for rapid methodology convergence. This is why AXA coordinates the AOA’s “methodology” sub-group and, together with our AOA peers, we launched a “Call for Comments” designed to encourage methodology convergence around a set of 16 methodology principles[1].

These include 1.5°C portfolio alignment, forward-looking approaches, a use of GHG footprinting Scopes 1, 2 and 3, considerations regarding back-testing, open data, sector biases, climate scenarios, coverage, target-setting & reporting capability, replicability, stability, “pluggability” into existing financial data systems, – this is key – resulting in an investment “temperature” KPI that can be readily used by portfolio managers. The details of these requirements are described on the AOA’s website. Over 30 extensive responses to this call are scrutinized as we write this report.

A bridge with the TCFD and COP26

In addition to this work, AXA supports similar work within the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures. The TCFD, in its efforts to make recommendations for more effective climate-related reporting, is considering metrics asset owners and managers could disclose to convey the climate-related risks and opportunities associated with their funds, products, and investment strategies. The TCFD has created an “Implied Temperature Rise Associated with Investments” working group, which coordinates with the AOA via AXA’s cross-memberships of both organizations, as well as other relevant investor groups and organizations. AXA believes that this work is compatible with the practical work conducted by the AOA with this ‘Call’ supports its members’ commitment to investment carbon neutrality, as well as COP26-related developments.

Climate-related risk assessment: AXA’s “Cost of climate”

In addition to the warming potential approach, which embodies the impact that our investments may have on the climate, climate risk analysis can also be undertaken from a business/investment risk perspective to assess how climate change may impact investment returns.

Here as well, AXA leverages a model developed by Carbon Delta based on the following three pillars:

- **Transition (or “regulation”) risk costs**: The low carbon transition, both via market and regulated evolutions, may significantly impact business models. This will likely create economic losses in the form of “regulation costs” for those who fail to adequately adapt. Transition risks for each company represent how much a reduction of their CO2 emissions by 2030 (the NDC horizon) will cost them. It is expressed as a proportion of revenues negatively affected by this cost;

- **Physical costs**: for each company, we identify how much potential future extreme weather events (5 “chronic” hazards – extreme heat, extreme cold, heavy precipitation, heavy snowfall, wind gust – and 2 “acute” hazards – coastal flooding and tropical cyclones) by 2030 will cost them (via asset damages and business interruption), relying on their activities and location, and combined with expected vulnerability factors. This is also expressed as a proportion of revenues negatively affected by this cost;

- **Green revenue**: for each company, we identify how much green revenues today and future green technologies developments by 2030 will generate for the Company, using company-level patent databases to estimate future revenue flows from green and low carbon technologies. While certainly not the only factor to be taken into account to estimate future green revenues, a statistically relevant correlation has been established between green patents and green revenues. This third KPI is expressed as a proportion of revenues positively impacted by these revenues.

Costs and opportunities are then combined and translated into a “Company cost of climate” indicator. As detailed in the aggregate table below, our exploratory analysis also shows that, on aggregate, when using a 1.5°C scenario, the companies we invest in may lose 10.2% of their total revenues in transition costs, and 8% of revenues to physical costs, but this is partly offset by green revenues equivalent to 7.8% of total revenues, thanks to integration of current green revenues and the results derived from forward-looking green patent investments.

 Ultimately, and according to this methodology, AXA’s net “company cost of climate” appears to be equivalent to an average 10.5% of the turnover of the companies we invest in. This would translate into a 3.3% reduction in AXA’s investment value, which could be described as a “portfolio cost of climate”.

However, this averaged figure necessarily smooths out heterogenous impacts amongst market players: some will likely be far more impacted than others. As a case in point, using a 3°C scenario roughly halves the final Cost of climate, as this scenario is less demanding in terms of corporate efforts.

Although currently AXA does not leverage this complex and evolving KPI in its day to day investment decisions, this metric provides an insightful of the possible climate-related financial risks that may be incurred by investors should its underlying assumptions be suddenly realized.

Some companies will likely be far more impacted by climate change than others. Using a 3°C scenario (vs 1.5°C) roughly halves the final Cost of climate, as this scenario is less demanding in terms of corporate efforts.

\(1\) These figures may not be compared with those disclosed in our 2019 Climate report. Here also, methodology changes have occurred, and the 1.5°C scenario used this year (in line with our AXA commitment) is more demanding than the 2°C scenario used in 2019.

---

\[\text{10.5\%} \quad \text{AXA’s “Company cost of climate”}\]

\[\text{3.3\%} \quad \text{AXA’s “Portfolio cost of climate”}\]
Investment carbon footprinting - a 2014-2019 trend analysis

In addition to the forward-looking metrics explored above, AXA also conducts a more static snapshot year on year of its investments’ carbon footprint since 2014, as part of its commitment towards the “Montreal Carbon Pledge(1)”. The December 2019 analysis spans our equities, corporate debt and sovereign investments, with an average 80% cover ratio.

Since 2014, the carbon footprint of AXA’s investments (equities, corporate and sovereign debt) has gradually decreased. Considering an exhaustive scope (Corporate + Sovereign Investments), it has decreased by 30.6% between December 2014 and December 2019. Focusing on our Corporate investments, the carbon footprint has decreased from 276.7 tons/mns $ revenues to 234 tons/mns $ revenues between 2018 and 2019. This trend is largely due to the fossil fuel divestment program first initiated by AXA in 2015 and subsequently strengthened in 2017. AXA strengthened its coal criteria again in 2019 (see next section “Divestments”), which should further impact positively our carbon footprint. Our data (see aggregate table in the following page) also shows a significantly lower carbon intensity compared to our benchmarks, on all three asset classes, which is the result of less carbon-intensive sector allocations.

Historical trend – AXA’s CO₂ Footprint

Since 2014, the contribution to the energy transition, which is separate from but related to the warming potential, can be measured via an analysis of the proportion of activities that comply with a given green taxonomy. The green share for listed investments is the value-weighted average share of revenues of issuers in portfolio. It will evolve over time as the regulatory definitions are clarified and implemented.

For corporate investments, we rely on Trucost S&P’s methodology, which decomposes the revenue mix of companies according to a proprietary taxonomy closed to the French Label “TEEC(2)”. We use an extended version of this taxonomy which combines “deep green” activities and “green candidates”, which will evolve over time. For sovereign investments, the green share is based on the share of low carbon sources of energy (nuclear and renewables) in the total energy supply of one country.

In December 2019, the green share of AXA’s corporate investments (equities and debt) was 4.9%, slightly below a benchmark of 5.4%. This reflects a higher green share vs benchmark for equities, that is more than offset by a lower green share vs benchmark for corporate debt (with larger exposure). Indeed our fixed income universe is more exposed to Materials, Capital goods and Utilities, and industrials in emerging markets that are still highly carbon-intensive.

However, our sovereign “green share” stands at 21.5% vs a benchmark of 14.5%. A weighted average of these three asset classes produces a 14.3% green share, which is significantly lower than our benchmark of 10.6%. Here also, our exposure to French treasury debt, inheriting France’s uniquely low carbon energy mix, played a strong role.

(1) https://montrealpledge.org/
(2) The French SRI label “Transition Énergétique et Écologique pour le Climat”.

Green share of AXA’s corporate investments
Investment-related climate metrics: full dashboard

The table below summarizes the main KPIs analyzed in the sections above, namely AXA’s warming potential, transition costs/physical risks costs/green revenues leading to a computation of our ‘Company cost of climate’ and ‘Portfolio cost of climate’ as well as carbon footprint and green share. The conclusions of our analysis for each KPI type are to be found earlier. This aggregated table reveals, however, the diversity of climate-related metrics at our disposal, some showing a snapshot situations while others are more forward-looking; some describing climate-related risks to our investments, while conversely others aim to reflect the impact of our investments on the climate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>AXA equities</th>
<th>Benchmark equities</th>
<th>AXA corp debt</th>
<th>Benchmark corp debt</th>
<th>AXA WGF</th>
<th>Benchmark WGF</th>
<th>AXA sovereign debt</th>
<th>Benchmark sovereign debt</th>
<th>AXA aggregate corporate securities</th>
<th>Benchmark aggregate corporate securities</th>
<th>AXA aggregate sovereign debt</th>
<th>Benchmark aggregate sovereign debt</th>
<th>AXA aggregate</th>
<th>Benchmark aggregate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warming potential (°C)</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolution</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Risks cost (% of total revenues)</td>
<td>Average Scenario</td>
<td>-9.33</td>
<td>-9.61</td>
<td>-7.84</td>
<td>-10.15</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-10.09</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-10.09</td>
<td>-10.09</td>
<td>-10.09</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>-9.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario 1.5°C</td>
<td>-8.67</td>
<td>-12.38</td>
<td>-10.37</td>
<td>-16.84</td>
<td>-10.18</td>
<td>-16.35</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>-10.08</td>
<td>-16.35</td>
<td>-16.35</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>-8.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition cost (% of total revenues)</td>
<td>Average Scenario</td>
<td>-8.06</td>
<td>-4.17</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>-8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario 1.5°C</td>
<td>-5.49</td>
<td>-9.47</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>-3.72</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>-3.72</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>-5.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green revenues (% of total revenues)</td>
<td>Average Scenario</td>
<td>10.91</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>10.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario 1.5°C</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>10.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Risks cost (CVaR)</td>
<td>Average Scenario</td>
<td>-3.76</td>
<td>-4.17</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>-3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario 1.5°C</td>
<td>-5.49</td>
<td>-9.47</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>-3.72</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>-3.72</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>-5.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green revenues (CVaR)</td>
<td>Average Scenario</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>7.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario 1.5°C</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>7.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio cost and opportunity of climate (% of investment value)</td>
<td>Scenario 1.5°C</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>-6.35</td>
<td>-3.52</td>
<td>-3.84</td>
<td>-3.33</td>
<td>-4.12</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>-3.33</td>
<td>-4.12</td>
<td>-4.12</td>
<td>-4.12</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon footprint</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green share (%)</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measuring the carbon footprint of our insured clients

AXA supports a pioneering project undertaken by the Chief Risks Officers’ Forum attempting to evaluate the carbon footprint of their underwriting portfolios, namely our insured clients. Methodology principles were published in May 2020(1), summarizing a range of options and obstacles, such as, similarly to investment metrics, issues regarding double-counting and data coverage. Nonetheless it can help insurers to work towards understanding the challenges and eventually disclosing the carbon intensity of their underwriting portfolios. At this stage, this remains an exploratory work with no prescriptive recommendations for CROF members.

(1) See https://www.thecroforum.org/2020/05/01/carbon-footprinting-methodology-for-underwriting-portfolios.
Factoring the “physical risks” of Climate Change into Real Assets investments

In addition to the above climate impact assessment conducted for corporate and sovereign assets, climate change, and in particular extreme weather events ("NatCats" in Insurance jargon), may impact “Real Assets”, such as real estate and infrastructures(1), which are primarily subject to so called “physical risks” in TCFD terminology.

Since our first Climate report (2016), AXA conducts an analysis on a selection of property assets. In 2020, this analysis covers a scope of more than €35 billion of Direct Property. AXA’s Investments and Risk Management teams evaluated the financial impact of floods and windstorms on the properties that they manage in a selection of 9 countries representing close to 90% of the portfolio. AXA IM’s real estate portfolio mirrors closely AXA’s real estate portfolio as we are their primary investor and the results thus reflect AXA's climate risk exposures.

Compared to last year’s exercise, the model used for risk assessment has evolved. The scope of European Flood has been extended to Switzerland and European Wind modelling has been updated. For next year, it is planned to include impact of hail in Europe.

Consistent with our previous studies, both annual average losses (AAL), as well as losses generated by one in a hundred years flood and storm events, remain limited compared to the total asset value. Results of our assessment based on the average annual loss are detailed on a country-level in the figures below.

Natural hazard risk is driven by three components: the hazard (defined by its severity and frequency), the exposure (characterized by the building’s physical properties) and the vulnerability (defined by destruction rates, function of the hazard and the exposure).

Natural hazard risk is driven by three components: the hazard (defined by its severity and frequency), the exposure (characterized by the building’s physical properties) and the vulnerability (defined by destruction rates, function of the hazard and the exposure).

(1) Insurance-related physical risks/natural catastrophes are described in “Risk Management” section.
Both annual average losses, as well as losses generated by one in a hundred years flood and storm events, remain limited compared to the total asset value.

Models used to assess the risk of natural hazards integrate information regarding buildings’ properties such as the structure, the year of construction, and the height of the building.

2019 annual average loss results

AXA’s real estate asset exposure is worldwide with most of the portfolio located in Europe (90%). It is more impacted by flood (62% of AAL) than windstorm, as shown on the previous page. Assets located in Australia have been added, compared to last year’s exercise. As of today, exposure information contains primary drivers such as the location of the buildings as well as their main occupancy. However, models used to assess the risk of natural hazards integrate a lot more information regarding buildings’ properties such as the structure, the year of construction, the height of the building, etc., as they have an important impact on the modelling results. Such detailed information is currently not systematically available in real estate portfolios but there is on-going work to collect this data more systematically in order to increase the precision of the output result.

Based on our internal risk assessment, Switzerland drives the AAL for both windstorm (35%) and flood (64%) perils (see Figure 2). For windstorm peril, France, Australia and USA contributes to around 50% of the AAL. For flood peril, Germany and USA contributes to 30% of the AAL. While exposure is mainly located in Europe (90%), the natural hazard risk associated to this exposure represents 82% of the total risk.

A closer collaboration between investment and NatCat modelling teams

Through the use of internal AXA tools such as the underwriting Aegis tool and Clymene platform, AXA Investment team has been able to identify buildings with higher levels of historical physical risk. The next step is to investigate how to use IPCC modelling, in particular its “Representative Concentration Pathways” (RCP) 2.6 and 8.5 scenarios, to find projections of physical risk to these assets, fine-tuning the analysis of climate risk and subsequent adaptation strategy. In addition, AXA IM Real Assets is increasingly utilizing these tools to strengthen the analysis of physical resilience in relation to climate change during the acquisition process, making ESG considerations in relation to climate change more instrumental in investment decisions. Some projects are expected to be undertaken in 2020 to obtain a proof of concept and advance to a portfolio wide analysis of future scenarios.

The teams conduct a climate-related physical risk analysis of the buildings in question and incorporate the results in the technical due diligence phase. This has enabled us to engage with our technical partners to reinforce our climate-related ambitions and include a specific adaptation strategy for the new assets with the aim to make ESG considerations more instrumental to the investment decision.

In conclusion, according to in-house risk modelling, the financial impacts of climate-related “physical risks” on real estate assets is often limited. The teams at AXA IM Real Assets continue to collaborate with the NatCat teams at AXA to better understand physical risk levels and determine appropriate adaptation efforts to limit such exposures to climatic events as part of the integrated approach to responsible investment.
Sector exclusions: divestments and underwriting restrictions

### Divestments

AXA’s Responsible Investment strategy includes several sector-level divestments. Indeed, certain activities and products are deemed to be inconsistent with our climate strategy and broader CR goals of protecting people over the long term. In this context, AXA has developed specific “sector guidelines” which apply both to our investments and insurance operations. These currently include the following sectors:

- Coal and oil sands (2015, 2017, 2019): developed below;
- “Controversial weapons” manufacturers (2007) that are banned by international conventions (antipersonnel landmines, cluster munitions/cluster bombs chemical, biological and depleted uranium weapons, nuclear weapons proliferation);
- Tobacco manufacturers (2016), whose products conflict with our role as one of the world’s largest health insurers;
- Palm oil producers (2013) which do not adhere to this industry’s best sustainability practices (notably regarding deforestation, land and labor rights);
- Soft commodity derivatives (2013) which may be responsible for inflating the price of basic food commodities.

In total, AXA’s divestments initiated in 2007 (including new coal-related efforts in 2019 – see below) represent approximately €7.5 billion (coal, tobacco, oil sands, controversial weapons and palm oil, in decreasing order of magnitude, and including XL Group assets).

### A focus on coal and oil sands

Carbon emissions will require significant curbing in order to reduce the risk of climate change, which may place business constraints on carbon-intensive industries, leaving some assets “stranded”, which in turn may lead to reduced valuations. Current valuation models may not account for such risks adequately. Coal-based power generation is seen as the riskiest industry in terms of such “asset stranding”.

AXA acted early in May 2015 with a pioneering coal divestment policy, later strengthened in 2017 with underwriting restrictions, and extended these to our new entity AXA XL in 2018. In November 2019, we strengthened this approach further and complemented it with a long-term perspective. AXA now bans investments, for General Accounts and in Unit-Linked assets in fully controlled mandates, in the following companies:

- Power generation companies with coal share of power production (energy mix over 30% and/or coal “expansion plans” producing more than 300 MW (previously: 3,000 MW) and/or over 10 GW of coal-based power installed capacity;
- Mining companies with coal share of revenues over 30% and/or with annual coal production over 20 million Tons and/or developing new coal mines;
- Certain coal industry partners, defined as manufacturers (e.g. equipment suppliers) and infrastructure players (e.g. port terminals, dedicated railways) developing significant new coal assets.

In addition to the above restrictions, AXA is now committed to a long-term “exit” strategy reducing exposure to the thermal coal industry to zero by 2030 in the European Union and OECD countries, and by 2040 in the rest of the world, as suggested by the main climate scenarios (such as the IEA “Beyond 2°C” scenario). This approach is applied both to our investments and underwriting (see below) activity. Because oil sands are also a particularly carbon-intensive form of energy, AXA also divested from the main oil sands producers and from the main associated pipelines players.

### Underwriting restrictions

It is inconsistent to commercially support industries that the Group has divested from. Therefore, AXA also restricts insurance coverage for coal and oil sands-related assets (as well as for the other industries mentioned in the previous section, and arctic drilling).

Since 2017, the underwriting restrictions ban Property and Construction covers for coal mines, coal plants, oil sands extraction sites or associated pipeline. In November 2019, AXA significantly strengthened these restrictions by adding the following coal-related restrictions at client-level, mirroring divestment criteria:

- Any new and existing business, in any Line of Business is banned for Power generation and mining clients developing new coal capacity (defined as developing more than 300 MW of new coal-based power);
- Any new and existing business, in any Line of Business is banned for Power generation and mining clients with significant coal business (defined as: power generation clients with more than 30% of coal share of revenues or coal-based energy mix and Mining clients with over 20MT of coal extracted per year). A long-term target to achieve a “0%” exposure to coal business by 2030 in the OECD, and 2040 in the rest of the world, is also set;
- A case by case referral process is used to ban or authorize business with Coal industry partners (such as equipment suppliers, port terminals, dedicated railways);
- Property and Construction covers are also banned for Oil & Gas extraction in the Arctic Region;
- The above rules do not apply to Employee Benefits (health, savings, protection) or to Treaty Reinsurance. The details of these policies are published online;
- AXA also bans business with “Controversial weapons” manufacturers and tobacco manufacturers.

More detailed internal rules apply for complex cases such as “mixed risks” packages, etc. These restrictions were initiated in 2011 (controversial weapons) and significantly ramped up in 2017 and 2018 (climate). We believe AXA to be the first large insurer to have implemented such restrictions, which represent a significant commercial commitment.
Green Investments

In addition to “temperature” targets and divestments, green investments encourage various sectors to ramp up their climate strategy. AXA first developed a green investment strategy in 2015. After reaching our first €3 billion green investment target in 2017, AXA scaled up its green investment target to €12 billion by 2020. In November 2019, AXA decided to commit to invest €24 billion in green investments by 2023. As of December 2019, AXA’s green investments reached €11.7 billion.

To support our green investment strategy, AXA has developed an internal framework to define “green” investments based on external labels, certifications and environmental standards as appropriate. Asset classes covered by our framework are: green bonds, infrastructure debt & equity, impact investments, real estate, and Commercial Real Estate loans. To qualify as a green investment, AXA applies the following environmental standards to each of the asset classes described below:

- **Green Bonds**: AXA’s green bonds are externally labelled, notably by the Climate Bonds Initiative as well as ratings agencies which confirm that definitions and use of proceeds are respected. However, AXA adds an extra review to confirm the actual “greenness” of the bond using more stringent criteria. See examples below;
- **Infrastructures**: AXA’s definition of “green” infrastructure is derived from accepted and demanding market-based approaches. Here also, AXA also relies on the Climate Bonds Initiative (www.climatebonds.net), with a focus on renewables, water treatment, and clean transport;
- **Impact investments**: impact investments classified as green are those in our Impact Funds that target climate impacts with clearly defined climate KPIs (for examples of impact KPIs reported see “Impact Investing” below);
- **Real Estate**: for property assets, our strict definition is limited to assets with a high level of environmental certification (minimum level “Excellent” or “Gold”) and a minimum Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) rating of “B”. See examples below;
- **Commercial Real Estate**: for CRE debt, we use a strict definition of “green” as well as for loans backing buildings with a high level of environmental certification (minimum level Excellent or Gold). Here, we do not reference the EPC as it is not influenced by the debt holder.

In addition, AXA and the IFC, a member of the World Bank Group focused on the private sector, launched a US$500 million partnership in 2017, supporting an infrastructure fund that will notably finance green infrastructures in emerging countries, including renewable energy, water, green transport and telecoms. At the end of 2019, mandatory loans amount to US$990 million, of which US$120 million has already been financed. Coal and oil sands related projects are explicitly excluded.

### Green Investments Breakdown (FY 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRE Loans</td>
<td>1.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Bonds</td>
<td>3.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>3.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infra Debt</td>
<td>1.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infra Equity</td>
<td>1.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Green Bond Allocation

- **1.6%** Sustainable Land-use
- **1.1%** Adaptation Infrastructure
- **2.9%** Biodiversity Conservation
- **4.5%** Water Management
- **6.5%** Energy Efficiency
- **20.7%** Green Buildings
- **28.6%** Low-carbon Transportation

Source: AXA 2019.

**A focus on Green Bonds**

As of 2019, AXA has invested €3.55 billion in green bonds (of which €1.04 billion in 2019), channeling the largest share into the energy sector totaling €1.2 billion.

The energy sector represents the largest share of global emissions reduction potential, notably through efficiency in the power sector (e.g. smart grids) and a shift to a greater share of low carbon energy sources. Investments in this sector in both developed and developing countries by 2030 will be essential to reach climate objectives. Our bonds have predominantly funded projects in the areas of renewable energy and energy efficiency (33% and 7%), low-carbon transportation (29%), and green buildings (21%).

**33%** Renewables  **21%** Green buildings
4. TCFD guidance: Strategy, Metrics & Targets

Examples include:

❯ the Société du Grand Paris (SGP) Green Bond finances a new automated metro network, 200 km of new lines, 88 stations & 7 technical centers. The issuer’s sole public mandate is to build this new automated metro network (the Grand Paris Express) and to contribute to the modernisation of the existing transport network within the Greater Paris area. We consider this low carbon transportation projects align with climate change mitigation and other social objectives. Thanks to this project, SGP expects 27 million tons of CO₂ emissions saved by 2050. In addition, 15,000 direct jobs are expected per year during the construction period and over 115,000 jobs creation from the growth generated by the network;

❯ La Poste’s inaugural Green Bond supports the issuer’s environmental transition. La Poste is committed to increase the share of electric vehicle in its fleet, to reduce the energy consumption of its buildings and to an increase its in-house renewable energy production capacity. The green bond finances green buildings and notably the renovation of existing buildings. It also finances on-site PV power generation equipment. And 4, 3 and 2 wheel-vehicles, as well as electric batteries rental, are lastly funded by this green bond;

❯ La Banque Postale’s inaugural sustainability bond finances renewable energy projects located mainly in France. While the framework includes social eligible activities, this issuance only focuses on wind (55%) and solar (45%) energy projects.

A focus on Real Assets

Contributing to the €24 billion AXA Group Green Investment initiative announced in November, real assets represent a significant portion to this overall objective. In order to define assets as “Green”, specific criteria must be set out above.

Examples include:

❯ Real Estate – A Mingergie-certified residential complex in Switzerland became the first building managed by AXA IM Real Assets on behalf of AXA, to become a “self-consumption” community. This advanced energy strategy gathers together property owners to share photovoltaic energy produced via rooftop solar panels. Tenants benefit from the self-consumption communities by buying electricity from their landlords. This energy comes at a far lower price than that offered by commercial energy suppliers. At night, electricity is purchased form the grid, while during the day any surplus power can be sold to energy providers. The building meets approximately 70% of its electricity needs;

❯ CRE Debt – One loan with an underlying asset in the New York is LEED Gold certified and has been publicly benchmarking energy performance since 2002, demonstrating above market energy performance. Significant building renovations that contribute to better energy performance in the asset include window replacements for better insulation;

❯ Forests – A portfolio of forests in Finland are FSC certified, covering 4,900 hectares of land and capable of storing approximately 5.4 MtCO₂e. A total of 12,000 tCO₂e is stored when considered net from harvesting, transportation and wood processing operations. The Forest stores 0.88 tCO₂/ha/year on average (post-harvest);

❯ Infrastructures – An example in the infrastructure debt portfolio is the financing of a German offshore wind project. the project is the financing of a 465 MW offshore wind farm located in the Gorman north sea which benefits from average wind speeds of up to 10 m/s. fully operational since 2019, it will have stable secured long-term, cash flows since the project benefits from a fixed regulated feed-in tariff (“FiT”) for the first 10 years and a floor price for 20 years of operation.
4. TCFD guidance: Strategy, Metrics & Targets

Transition bonds - a pioneering concept to finance transition efforts

Supporting carbon intensive players that are actively decarbonizing but have not yet reached the “greenness” that makes these efforts eligible to green bonds requires new instruments.

Use of proceeds

In this transaction, the use of proceeds from the bond will be used in the following ways:

- **electricity production**: loans made to an electric utility company in an Asian emerging country which is currently dependent on coal and oil for power generation. These loans finance the development of gas-fired power stations. Current Combined Cycle Gas Turbine technologies have an average carbon intensity of 353 tCO₂/kWh, 60% lower than the average coal production unit;
- **marine transport**: loans made to shipping companies to switch from heavy marine diesel oil to liquid natural gas propulsion (25% emissions reductions), which is the most efficient improvement currently available at scale to reduce emissions for large-scale commercial shipping. Shipping is currently one of the few activities where transition technologies can be implemented on a large-scale;
- **industrial resource efficiency**: loans made to a South American industrial company implementing energy efficiency and waste-water treatment with expected reduction in energy intensity by 44% between now and 2040.

Transparency

One of the principles of green bonds is that issuers must provide a means of mapping the invested funds to be able to clearly demonstrate that they are used for green projects (use of proceeds). Transition bonds can function in exactly the same way. Transparency is critical in this regard: investors must be regularly informed of how money is being used and what environmental outcomes are being achieved.

AXA encourages transition bond issuers to regularly publish key details, such as the projects to which proceeds have been allocated. The issuers are to use indicators, like those developed in the Green Bond Principles, either to demonstrate the environmental impact of transition bond-funded projects for the use-of-proceeds transition bonds, or the strategic shift to a low carbon model of the Company for climate key performance indicator (KPI)-linked bonds. AXA IM is working with peers and policymakers within the International Capital Markets Association (ICMA) to define acceptable “Transition Bonds principles”. The ICMA Transition Bonds working group (initiated in January 2020) is co-chaired by AXA IM, HSBC and J.P. Morgan, with more than 70 participants from across the capital markets as well as many corporates from carbon intensive industries. The aim is to publish guidance by the end of 2020.
Impact Investing

AXA was one of the first institutional investors to engage proactively in impact investing, an investment strategy that aims to generate objectively measurable and intentional environmental and social impacts alongside financial returns, both integrated into investment management incentives.

In 2013, AXA committed €200 million to launch its first impact fund focusing on Financial Inclusion, Access to Healthcare and Education. In 2016 AXA scaled up its contributions by allocating a further €150 million to set up Impact Fund 2, focusing on environmental and social impact. In 2019, AXA announced the launch of its third Impact Investment Fund (€200 million), dedicated to Biodiversity & Climate change (see box), thus reaching a total commitment of €550 million since 2013.

The AXA Impact Funds 1 & 2, in their objectives and outcomes, are “fund of funds” investment vehicles that demonstrate the tangible role AXA and its entities are playing in the achievement of the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) through the allocation of much needed capital. Our preliminary review of our impact funds SDG alignment yielded positive results, demonstrating that Impact Funds 1 and 2 are providing capital to businesses that directly address the SDGs highlighted on this page.

Deep and credible alignment to the UN SDGs

€550m
Committed to Impact Investing since 2013
Impact Measurement

AXA’s Impact measurement framework covers initial assessment, evaluation, due diligence, investment, monitoring and exit. The objective is to ensure that the generation of impact is intentional, focused, and a key driver for investment decisions and managing investments over the investment period. It is aligned with the Operating Principles for Impact Management sponsored by the International Finance Corporation. AXA IM is a founding signatory to these Principles and a Member of the Advisory Board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AXA Impact Fund 1 Aggregated KPIs (source AXA IM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to finance /Insurance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underserved banking clients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underserved insured clients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Female Clients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Rural Clients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Female Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Climate Change</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Emissions Avoided (tons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Facilities in Underserved Locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Sanitation Centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Medical Products* for Global Health (Regulatory Approval)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Drugs, Vaccines, Preventatives, Diagnostics and other related technologies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AXA Impact Fund 2 Aggregated KPIs (Source AXA IM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transforming Rural Economies</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underserved Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to Clean Energy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Emissions Avoided (tons)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AXA Impact Fund III Climate & Biodiversity

The AXA Impact Fund: Climate & Biodiversity was launched in May 2019 during the G7 Environment ministerial meetings with a goal to tackle climate change and protect biodiversity and the ecosystems that will support our world into the future.

It invests to protect Natural Capital, promote resource efficiency, and improve the resilience of vulnerable communities to the effects of climate change and biodiversity loss. The Fund was awarded the Best Impact initiative for ESG in the 2019 Sustainable Investment Awards. The Fund is making good on its promise to fund credible, investable solutions that deliver positive outcomes.

Examples include:

- **Madecasse**: this investment in sustainable agroforestry and cocoa production will protect the unique biodiversity of Madagascar by providing critical habitats that support lemurs and other endangered species. The expected impact from this investment include: 2,900 hectares under sustainable management for biodiversity and soil conservation; 528 hectares of Critical Habitat that supports lemurs; 4 lemur species on the International Union for Conservation of Nature endangered list; and create over 120 jobs;

- **RRG Sustainable Water Impact Fund**: this investment directly addresses the effect that extreme weather due to climate change is having on the availability of water, critical habitats and biodiversity in climate stressed areas of California. Our capital is financing groundwater recharge and storage, restoring habitats for endangered species; and generally promoting more sustainable use of agricultural land. The expected impact outcomes from this investment will have a significant effect on the water and conservation needs of California. The direct and indirect impact outcomes will address 30% of the annual groundwater overdraft; 24% of the migratory bird habitat and 28% of the land restoration needs of the California San Joaquin Valley – a very important region for food production in the United States.

Biodiversity: the next frontier of sustainable finance

Biodiversity loss endangers “ecosystemic services”, which threatens both society and businesses that depend on them, and in turn investors and insurers that rely on a well-functioning economy. We view the biodiversity challenge as a natural extension of our climate efforts. Indeed, climate change is severely compounding the destruction of ecosystems all around the world, adding pressures related to drought, ocean acidification, more intense natural catastrophes, etc. This is why in 2018 we publicly decided to investigate how biodiversity loss is impacting society and how we can act both as an insurer and an investor. Notably, insurance mechanisms are a relevant answer when there is a need to remediate polluted areas, for example via environmental liability covers.

Biodiversity loss endangers ecosystemic services, which threatens both society and businesses that depend on them, and in turn investors and insurers that rely on a well-functioning economy. We view the biodiversity challenge as a natural extension of our climate efforts, as the two crises are connected. We have decided to act both as an insurer and an investor and encourage a strong “Kunming Agreement” in the 2021 COP15 to “mainstream” biodiversity action across industries.

**Biodiversity: the next frontier of sustainable finance**

**AXA Impact Fund III Climate & Biodiversity**

AXA Impact Fund III Climate & Biodiversity was launched in May 2019 during the G7 Environment ministerial meetings with a goal to tackle climate change and protect biodiversity and the ecosystems that will support our world into the future.

It invests to protect Natural Capital, promote resource efficiency, and improve the resilience of vulnerable communities to the effects of climate change and biodiversity loss. The Fund was awarded the Best Impact initiative for ESG in the 2019 Sustainable Investment Awards. The Fund is making good on its promise to fund credible, investable solutions that deliver positive outcomes.

Examples include:

- **Madecasse**: this investment in sustainable agroforestry and cocoa production will protect the unique biodiversity of Madagascar by providing critical habitats that support lemurs and other endangered species. The expected impact from this investment include: 2,900 hectares under sustainable management for biodiversity and soil conservation; 528 hectares of Critical Habitat that supports lemurs; 4 lemur species on the International Union for Conservation of Nature endangered list; and create over 120 jobs;

- **RRG Sustainable Water Impact Fund**: this investment directly addresses the effect that extreme weather due to climate change is having on the availability of water, critical habitats and biodiversity in climate stressed areas of California. Our capital is financing groundwater recharge and storage, restoring habitats for endangered species; and generally promoting more sustainable use of agricultural land. The expected impact outcomes from this investment will have a significant effect on the water and conservation needs of California. The direct and indirect impact outcomes will address 30% of the annual groundwater overdraft; 24% of the migratory bird habitat and 28% of the land restoration needs of the California San Joaquin Valley – a very important region for food production in the United States.

Biodiversity: the next frontier of sustainable finance

Biodiversity loss endangers “ecosystemic services”, which threatens both society and businesses that depend on them, and in turn investors and insurers that rely on a well-functioning economy. We view the biodiversity challenge as a natural extension of our climate efforts. Indeed, climate change is severely compounding the destruction of ecosystems all around the world, adding pressures related to drought, ocean acidification, more intense natural catastrophes, etc. This is why in 2018 we publicly decided to investigate how biodiversity loss is impacting society and how we can act both as an insurer and an investor. Notably, insurance mechanisms are a relevant answer when there is a need to remediate polluted areas, for example via environmental liability covers.

Biodiversity loss endangers ecosystemic services, which threatens both society and businesses that depend on them, and in turn investors and insurers that rely on a well-functioning economy. We view the biodiversity challenge as a natural extension of our climate efforts, as the two crises are connected. We have decided to act both as an insurer and an investor and encourage a strong “Kunming Agreement” in the 2021 COP15 to “mainstream” biodiversity action across industries.
AXA's biodiversity strategy currently includes the following developments:

- In May 2019, during the G7 Ministerial meetings, AXA launched recommendations co-developed with the WWF emphasizing how biodiversity loss may be tackled by investors through new forms of public-private collaborations. The report “Into the Wild – Integrating nature into investment strategies” is designed to raise awareness on biodiversity loss and its economic and financial impacts. It presents several recommendations, including the launch of a “TCFD-like” broad-based taskforce to promote the protection and restoration of biodiversity.
- The report also called on the creation of biodiversity risk metrics adapted to investors, as well as several other developments (see https://www.axa.com/en/newsroom/news/how-to-support-the-transition-towards-the-protection-of-biodiversity);
- AXA launched its third “Impact Investment” fund during the G7 meetings, with a strong focus on biodiversity protection. See box on previous page;
- AXA supports the creation of biodiversity risk metrics through a “Request for Information” jointly launched in January 2020 by AXA IM and several other asset managers;
- the AXA Research Fund actively supports academic research on biodiversity risks. The Fund also published a research paper “Biodiversity at Risk” that highlights the interdependencies between nature, climate change, the economy and security, to help raise public awareness and support enlightened decision making;
- AXA supports the “Plastic Solutions Investor Alliance” shareholder engagement initiative described below;
- AXA XL actively contributes to the Ocean Risk and Resilience Action Alliance (ORRAA), an alliance focused on developing Risk Management strategies using the experience and expertise of the insurance and broader finance community, to address ocean risk and build resilience in the regions and communities that need it most;
- AXA joined the world’s first insurance industry statement on sustainable marine insurance, banning so-called “illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing”, and co-developed by the UN Principles for Sustainable Insurance and the Oceana NGO.

Context Box

WWW partnership to tackle biodiversity risks

In 2019, the AXA Group signed a three-year partnership with the WWF France in order to develop and strengthen its biodiversity strategy. The partnership is expected to include: crafting an industry-led taskforce to develop a biodiversity risk-related guidelines for the financial services industry, developing biodiversity risk and impact metrics, and supporting AXA’s Impact Investing work on biodiversity solutions.

Shareholder engagement

As a shareholder and bondholder, AXA has the possibility to engage with the management of companies in which it invests in order to help catalyze positive change on certain issues (such as climate change, health, governance, market practices, etc.). These engagement activities are carried out either directly by the Group or by AXA IM on behalf of the AXA Group and third-party clients. AXA IM holds constructive and challenging discussions directly with investee companies, and as part of a coalition of investors, engaging with companies in key sectors.

Our key climate engagement objectives are shaped by the Taskforce for Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) framework, which has established itself as the de facto reporting framework on this issue. Alongside establishing public support for the TCFD, we encouraged companies to:

- commit to short-, mid- and long-term carbon emissions reduction targets that are based on climate science. There should be a clear explanation of corresponding capital expenditure plans;
- perform scenario analysis using a scenario where global warming is limited to the Paris Agreement goal of well below 2°C;
- align executive remuneration to climate change objectives.

AXA has also joined several shareholder coalitions, notably:

- Climate Action 100+, a five-year investor initiative to engage with the world’s largest corporate greenhouse gas emitters to curb emissions, strengthen climate-related financial disclosures and improve governance on climate change. AXA is the lead investor on several companies in the Airlines and Automotive industries;
- the “Plastic Solutions Investor Alliance” (joined in 2018), an International engagement coalition on plastic packaging pollution, challenging several companies in the Food & Beverage and retail sectors to promote sound management practices reducing risks related to plastic waste;
- the UN PRI ESG Engagement Advisory Committee, providing strategic direction and feedback on themes for future collaborative engagements;
- the UN PRI’s Advisory Committee on Credit Ratings (“ESG integration in credit”), aiming to enhance the transparent and systematic integration of ESG factors in credit risk analysis;
- various other initiatives related to the TCFD guidelines, arctic drilling, palm oil, the automotive industry, etc.
Group-level governance

As described under “Governance” Section, AXA’s “ESG Footprint Committee” reviews issuers from a pure ESG perspective, and it can decide on specific follow-up actions, such as requests for engagement. The AXA Group’s central Credit Research Team oversees AXA’s credit portfolio and assigns ESG-integrated Internal Credit Ratings to issuers in the portfolio (see “ESG integration” Section 2). As part of its rating process, the AXA Group Credit Research Team conducts regular one-on-one interviews with the top management of the issuers in which AXA has its largest investment exposure. These interviews give the AXA Group Credit Research Team the opportunity to review and discuss issuers’ strategy, including in ESG, on a non-public basis.

Asset Manager-level initiatives


Voting – In 2019, AXA IM voted at 6,016 general meetings. AXA did not fully support management proposals in 46% of these meetings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Meetings</th>
<th>Votes For All</th>
<th>Against/Abstentions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6,016</td>
<td>3,239</td>
<td>2,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,465</td>
<td>2,467</td>
<td>2,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,814</td>
<td>3,539</td>
<td>3,275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Engagement

217 issuers engaged in 2019; 63 engagements at senior executive or Board Director level.
5. TCFD guidance: Risk Management

Internal control and Risk Management

AXA’s management of sustainability risks is integrated within a broader Risk Management framework, as described more extensively in AXA’s 2019 Annual Report. Indeed, as AXA is engaged in Insurance, Reinsurance, Asset Management and Banking business on a global scale, it is exposed to a wide variety of risks, including market risks, credit risk, insurance risks, operational risks and other material risks. In addition, the Solvency II regime (which is an economic risks-based framework) requires the Group to have in place an effective system of governance which provides for sound and prudent Risk Management.

The mandate of the Audit Risk and Compliance Committee (“ARCC”) is to strengthen the overall Group’s Risk Management governance. The scope of the ARCC covers all of the Group’s operations and include the Group’s overall risk appetite (including breaches of risk limits), the Own Risk & Solvency Assessment (“ORSA”) and the other Solvency II reports, systemic risk documentation, major findings identified by internal audit, etc. In 2020, the ARCC notably reviewed AXA’s sustainability disclosures (chapter 7 within AXA’s Annual Financial Report) as well as approved this report.
Climate-related Property insurance impacts

Understanding the dynamics and time horizon of risk components

As described in the Real Assets section above, natural hazard risk is driven by three components: the hazard (defined by its severity and frequency), the exposure (characterized by the building’s physical properties) and the vulnerability (defined by destruction rates, function of the hazard and the exposure).

The changes we see in our year-on-year losses are a function of all these components and not just the hazard, which is a common misconception. The science is clear that the hazard is changing for different climate-related perils, but the direction and order of magnitude of these changes is usually slow and, in many instances, highly uncertain. There are instances where risk (through combination of hazard, exposure and vulnerability) may decrease. Understanding when and where these changes are likely to occur present opportunities for us as a (re)insurer to either take risk where others might not wish to, or de-risk where we think prices might not be appropriate for the risk we are taking.

Where we do have climate projections of the expected change in hazard, these are mostly at time scales that are at a time horizon too far away in terms of managing business: climate projections most often exist out to 2050 or 2100 whereas from an underwriting, business and capital planning perspective, we operate in a timeframe of a few years.

It is therefore critical to understand comprehensively the factors contributing to the risk from climate-driven perils. Analysis suggests that by far the biggest driver of changes in losses in the past 10 years has been from changes in exposure. These changes have been generated by increases in property values, economic growth and population dynamics, among other things. These exposure factors will continue to change and, from an insurance risk perspective, it is prudent to focus on exposure and how this is likely to change in the future. This shorter term work on exposure helps us to constrain the hazard impacts that we may see in a changing climate. Similarly, the vulnerability of a given region will likely see changes as communities adapt to become more resilient to natural perils (e.g. via flood defenses, new building codes or restrictions).

Methodology for Real Estate

INCOMPRESSIBLE RISK = PHYSICAL HAZARD X EXPOSURE X VULNERABILITY

Methodology Box

Partnerships to improve climate resilience for local populations

C40: during a year-long partnership (2018-2019), AXA and C40 Cities (a resilient cities network) developed a study on infrastructure interdependencies in cities. Its purpose is to better understand the progress that cities are making to include infrastructure interdependencies in their adaptation plans, to identify barriers cities face and to provide practical recommendations for how cities can overcome these challenges to improve the resiliency of their networks. The results of the study were published in a report “Understanding infrastructure interdependencies in cities”, which was launched in November 2019 in an AXA event.

UN Habitat: in May 2019, at the Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction in Geneva, AXA launched the publication Supporting Safer Housing Reconstruction After Disasters – Planning and Implementing Technical Assistance at Scale, the result of our multi-year partnership with UN Habitat. This publication contributes to risk reduction and prevention measures by providing practical and accessible information on technical assistance to all stakeholders involved in housing reconstruction.
Using risk assessment tools to define long-term forward-looking strategies

It is against this backdrop that since the 1980s, “NatCat” models have been developed mostly by market software providers to capture each component of the risk. These models are extensively used by (re)insurance industry to assess the impact of natural hazards on their portfolios. Focusing on weather-related perils (precipitation, flood, windstorm, hail...), such models are suitable to evaluate the risk in current climate on an annual-basis portfolio.

AXA leverages its internal expertise and vast amount of data to develop its own NatCat models. The primary objective of this approach is to empower decision-making processes related to NatCat from underwriting to capital and Risk Management. Given the context of (i) climate change with likely impacts on weather-related perils, (ii) a global population in constant evolution with accumulation in cities, and coastal and other riskier areas and (iii) new construction practices through resilient cities projects, internal models bring flexibility to implement forward-looking scenarios.

Most of the insurance market today relies on external analyst providers, meaning they give limited ability to plug different scenarios, different assumptions and analyze their sensitivity to NatCat risks, in particular in the context of climate change. AXA’s ambitious move to internalize the modelling of NatCat risk is a strong step forward to support the definition of AXA’s strategy in the long-term. In this section, we present our firsthand analysis on the mapping of identified climate change impacts and AXA property exposure. Research on climate change is extensive and is synthesized in IPCC reports in order to give to public and private stakeholders an overview of the foreseen changes and the level of uncertainty associated. However, the integration of data produced by climate models to quantitatively assess the impact of climate change on insurance portfolios remains a technical challenge. As of today, climate data is stored in a way that facilitates the analysis of high volume of data for research purposes with high computing and storage capacities that are not compatible with usual private companies. There is a need for more public–private partnerships to envisage the future of climate data, accessible for all.

An analysis of AXA’s property insurance exposure to climate change

The direct impact of climate change on physical risks is the focus here with the objective to localize and size current AXA property exposure to those future risks. The main idea is to determine under the RCP4.5(1) emissions scenario (medium mitigation scenario) the geographical location of new potential areas at risk for AXA P&C business over the time horizon 2060-2080. With this intermediary time scale, it is possible to notice and assess some impacts on NatCats (10-20 years would be too short to detect any variation, as explained above) while avoiding a very long-term hypothetical horizon such as 2100.

For this exercise, IPCC surface temperature and sea level rise variables are used to quantify current AXA exposure at risk in a future and changing climate. A map of temperature increase in 2070 (see adjacent heat map) can be used as a proxy to geographically highlight areas where business activities are likely to be impacted (business interruption due to very high temperature increase for instance), to change and/or to relocate. Maps of sea level rise help to identify coastal regions that may be affected in the future, with a focus here in Europe and North America regions. This exercise helps to understand the vulnerability of current business models to climate change with a 2060-2080 time horizon. More quantitative analyses on direct impact of specific physical risks like windstorm and flood to AXA property exposure are underway.

Property & Casualty business has a short time horizon of typically 1 to 3 years enabling insurers to adapt pricing, reserving and underwriting within the constraints of the market that we operate in, almost on a real-time basis. The hazard associated with physical risk induced by climate change should therefore not significantly impact AXA’s solvency or profitability. However, we believe it is crucial to consider both short-term horizons, when business actions can be taken (underwriting, pricing, restrictions) with quick effects, and a medium to long-term horizon, as insurers will play a central role in resilience schemes and long-term planning.

(1) Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) have been defined by IPCC experts and used as inputs to climate model to evaluate the impact of different mitigation policies (from no mitigation actions to the complete stop of high-carbon activities). RCP 4.5 is a scenario of long-term global emissions of greenhouse gases which stabilizes radiative forcing at 4.5 W m-2 (approximately 650 ppm CO2 equivalent) in the year 2100, without ever exceeding that value. This scenario is a medium RCP and assumes the imposition of emissions mitigation policies.
Climate-related health insurance impacts

The impacts of “physical risks” on an insurer’s Property & Casualty books is under close scrutiny both by regulators and insurers themselves. However, our health business may also be impacted.

Among all foreseen physical risks, two are mainly under scrutiny: the shift of hospitable land to vector-borne disease (in particular malaria) and the increase of heatwaves (both in frequency and magnitude). The effect of extreme heatwaves on Long-term Health and Protection should stay limited given that mortality would only momentarily increase. Also, studies highlight that the future death toll of extreme weather could reduce if people were to adapt to the new temperatures.

By 2100, the percentage of land area hospitable to malaria could increase between 12 and 46% (optimistic vs. catastrophic scenarios). The disease would remain as epidemic and not pandemic. This extension would mostly affect Critical illness and Health covers, but the effects can be highly reduced by improvements in socio-economic conditions, irrigation, drainage and better healthcare. The impact of climate change on pandemic risk is also being explored, but as of today no clear link has been demonstrated.

Our current assessment is that some changes will occur at a speed that should allow us to adapt either our pricing, reserving or underwriting strategy efficiently without undergoing significant shocks to our solvency or profitability. However, as evidenced in the 2018 IPCC special report, health impacts of climate change occur as early as +2°C and are likely to be severe for human society in pessimistic scenarios.

Climate-related impacts on AXA’s own operations

In terms of physical risks on AXA’s operations, AXA leverages its existing operational risk framework through risk assessments and scenario stress testing. These scenarios, which are designed with our business and modelling experts, include a climate focus and consider the geographic location of AXA’s premises. For example, an increasing frequency of floods in Western Europe can result in potential damages on premises, such as power outages or unavailability of sites. Business continuity initiatives are therefore key to ensure that employees can work safely and in good conditions in case these scenarios happen. The 2020 Covid-related “lockdown” we experience as we draft this report shows the importance of such business continuity work. As companies face a lack of data to forecast long term risk exposure, benchmarks with peers are conducted to identify trends that could evolve.
6. Other information

Products and services

In addition to reorienting its investment strategy, the Group develops savings and insurance products and services with environmental added value. A few examples are described below.

AXA IM

In 2019, in an environment where EU and national regulators are putting in place new standards and requirements notably to address greenwashing concerns, AXA IM has evolved its RI categories to ensure its products such as the Human Capital, Women Empowerment, Clean Economy and Global Green Bonds funds remain in line with best practices in the markets, but also that they are easy to understand by clients.

› “ESG Integrated” – Funds in this group systematically exclude tobacco manufacturers and companies violating the UN Global Compact Principles. In addition, ESG scores form part of the investment decision-making process and are used to identify and address risks. A portfolio manager must submit a written justification of any decision to hold stocks with an ESG score below 2. On average, about 4% to 5% of a benchmark index are excluded from investments at this level;

› “Sustainable” – Funds in this category embed sustainability factors more meaningfully into the portfolio construction process. In addition to the “integrated” screening policies, they add an ESG layer based on a best-in-class policy with an eligible universe defined by removing the low ESG performers. They can also adjust portfolios to target a specific KPI such as a carbon footprint. Each specific objective is clearly stated in the fund prospectus. Granular ESG and voting reporting is published online. Local market labelling regimes may add further requirements;

› “Impact” – This is AXA IM’s most focused responsible investment offering. Products incorporate the demands of the Sustainable category but are specifically designed to have a direct and positive impact on society and/or the environment. These are further described in the “Impact Investing” section.

AXA France Savings products

AXA France offers SRI funds to its retail customers, as well as ESG-managed funds (which may be AXA IM funds or third-party funds), and it offers 100% SRI collective savings and pension products to companies for their employees. In 2018, AXA France launched a Unit-Linked account offer called Perspectiv’Allegro. All the underlying funds are evaluated on their financial performance and ESG practices and include labelled platforms (based on official French savings labels). The Perspectiv’Allegro offer is made up of 55% invested in Unit-Linked accounts, invested in equities and debt (including green bonds). The remaining 45% is invested in euros funds which integrate AXA's responsible investment screening which eliminates coal, oil sands, tobacco, controversial weapons and unsustainable palm oil sectors. Since 2018, AXA France has planted 30,000 trees in France through the campaign “a tree planted for each deposit on Perspectiv’ ESG” promoting reforestation.

AXA France Assurance Citoyenne, an ESG integration approach to retail products

AXA France created the Assurance Citoyenne label in 2015, which guarantees that all insurance contracts benefit clients as well as positively impacting society. In 2019, this initiative was adapted and deployed on saving contracts under the Épargne citoyenne label. These two labels are based on an assessment built in collaboration with external stakeholders and audited by an independent third party. Our engagements are communicated publicly through the label’s four pillars, which are the following: “Trust” (e.g. simple contracts for readability and transparency), “Prevention” (e.g. preventive services or financial education to minimize the risks our clients are facing for themselves, their goods and their savings), “Environment” (e.g. paperless contract, investment decisions based on environmental impact), and “Fairness” (e.g. product accessibility for populations usually excluded from insurance mechanisms, etc.). Since 2015, 4.9 million such contracts have been sold since 2015.

AXA Switzerland – Automotive insurance/Repair instead of replacing

There are 6 million vehicles driving on Switzerland’s roads, including 4.6 million passenger cars. While serious accidents are fortunately decreasing, minor damage to bodywork, windshields, and headlights is on the increase. However, even minor damage is often not repaired. Instead, whole components are simply replaced with new ones, despite the availability of alternative options. This generates extra costs and pressures on natural resources. AXA Switzerland therefore supports a different approach whereby “micro repairs”, as they are known, involve repairing damaged components rather than simply swapping them out. More emphasis on skilled workmanship and less of a throwaway mentality helps reduce pressure on resources and cut CO₂ emissions.
AXA XL – a science-based approach to climate resilience

AXA XL recently worked with the Cambridge Centre for Risk Studies to evaluate the impact that a variety of catastrophes in various regions have had on their respective economies. The findings of the report, in line with the graph below, are clear that communities that exist with resilience usually have the quickest and strongest recovery. Resilience comes in many forms with insurance being one of the most effective. The “protection gap” is defined as the difference between the economic and the insured losses from a particular event and exists in all economies but is largest and more prevalent in emerging economies. AXA XL promotes collaboration with governments globally to help them reduce the risk that they face as an insurer of last resort. This academic work helps us articulate the value and importance that insurance brings to their economies and how they can make progress towards closing the protection gap. We are able to facilitate this through our extensive contacts in the market, our involvement with the Insurance Development Forum and our breadth of underwriters across multiple geographies and lines of business.

With the scale, access to business, number of clients and thought leadership, AXA XL is one of the foremost writers of climate risk business globally.

AXA Climate – Parametric ‘resilience’ solutions

AXA Climate provides immediate protection to communities facing climate risks in order to limit the consequences of catastrophes on already vulnerable communities with parametric insurance solutions based on satellite and weather data which triggers quick and automatic payouts. AXA Climate has also developed an early warning system, called CYMO, which will use satellite and other weather data to anticipate and initiate contingency planning before a natural catastrophe occurs. AXA Climate’s clients include major international sovereign risk pools which provide governments protection against natural disaster risks (tropical cyclones, earthquakes, excess rainfall and drought). AXA Climate also works with governments in the agriculture sector to protect farmers against yield losses due to multiple climate risks. For example, AXA Climate supports the national agriculture insurance scheme in India which allows more than 55 million farmers to benefit from agriculture insurance. Public-private partnerships represent 60% of AXA Climate’s portfolio.

AXA XL Re – Agricultural reinsurance

The AXA XL Global Agriculture Reinsurance team has launched a new parametric microinsurance scheme protecting more than 15,000 coffee and grain farmers against drought and excess of rain events in Nicaragua. Crop exports (coffee, nuts and bananas) represent almost 16 percent of the country’s overall exports. Nicaragua is also one of the regions most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. AXA XL helps to protect smallholder farmers, many of whom possess fewer than 10 hectares of land. The scheme covers both excessive rainfall and prolonged drought, and payouts are activated when precipitation data from satellites record values that exceed or fall below pre-established region- and crop-specific rainfall triggers. Since 2018, the insurance scheme has grown significantly and triggered insurance payouts for two drought and one excess of rain event. The AXA XL Global Agriculture Reinsurance team are now working on similar initiatives in other regions vulnerable to climate risks.

Natural catastroph recovery dynamics

With the scale, access to business, number of clients and thought leadership, AXA XL is one of the foremost writers of climate risk business globally.
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The AXA XL Global Agriculture Reinsurance team has launched a new parametric microinsurance scheme protecting more than 15,000 coffee and grain farmers against drought and excess of rain events in Nicaragua. Crop exports (coffee, nuts and bananas) represent almost 16 percent of the country’s overall exports. Nicaragua is also one of the regions most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. AXA XL helps to protect smallholder farmers, many of whom possess fewer than 10 hectares of land. The scheme covers both excessive rainfall and prolonged drought, and payouts are activated when precipitation data from satellites record values that exceed or fall below pre-established region- and crop-specific rainfall triggers. Since 2018, the insurance scheme has grown significantly and triggered insurance payouts for two drought and one excess of rain event. The AXA XL Global Agriculture Reinsurance team are now working on similar initiatives in other regions vulnerable to climate risks.

55m
Indian farmers protected via AXA's parametric solutions
AXA XL Risk Consulting services – Helping corporate clients to mitigate climate risks

The Risk Consulting division of AXA XL works closely with corporate clients to help them translating climate hazards into a risk, which means quantifying the physical and economic impacts of climate change on their assets and business operations and implementing appropriate risk metrics into decision-making process. AXA XL Risk Consulting services models the unique vulnerability of assets to hazards, e.g. estimate the physical damage associated to a given flood water depth, and quantify a wide range of direct and indirect economic consequences, such as the property damage associated with the said flood, the risk of disruption of the upstream supply chain or downstream distribution, the risk of interruption of production. Some recent examples of analyses performed by risk consulting to support AXA clients are:

❯ natural hazards prioritization analysis, aimed to identify regions and sites – among a client’s portfolio, or supply chain – potentially more affected by natural hazards, as of today and due to climate change in the next 30 years;
❯ water stress risk assessment, i.e. the assessment of potential water shortage and related impacts on business continuity and communities, as of today and in the next 30 years.

In addition to risk assessment, risk engineering is also able to support clients in tailoring the best risk mitigation strategy, and identify which countermeasures should be taken to control losses, or accelerate the pace and scale of adaptation, for instance reducing the physical vulnerability of assets by retrofitting, identifying alternate suppliers, creating backup stocks, or transfer risks, etc.

New Energy Risk – Low carbon performance insurance

New Energy Risk (NER), an AXA XL affiliate, develops performance insurance solutions for the technical risk associated with breakthrough low carbon technologies (e.g. renewable energy, fuel cells, energy storage, energy efficiency, waste to energy and biofuels), thanks to its proprietary risk modeling and assessment. Products include performance insurance, warranty backstops, and business interruption insurance. These solutions seek to reassure customers and/or financiers who would otherwise not accept new technology performance risk. NER-supported clients have invested over $2 billion in sustainable technologies, companies, and projects supported by $1 billion in total insurance capacity. By enabling capital efficiency, new technologies go more quickly from development to deployment and commercial scale with widespread customer adoption. Results include 441,000 tons/year waste processed, 640,000 MWh/year clean energy generated, 50 million gal/year alternative fuel produced, and 314,000 tons/year CO2e avoided.

Responsible Investment training and customer communications

AXA has developed an in-house e-learning training module to provide all members of the investment teams worldwide with both AXA’s vision of responsible investment and concrete information about RI governance, policies, external commitments, and an exercise in building a portfolio with a high ESG performance.

AXA IM also plays an active role in promoting the acceptance and implementation of ESG issues within the investment industry through educational documents for clients with regards to TCFD and Article 173, quarterly newsletters on RI, a dedicated RI website with all policies and thought leadership pieces, an RI Annual Report, as well as ESG rating and reporting material. AXA IM also organises workshops on RI, ESG training for insurance clients, on-site demonstration of RI tools, tailored programs for clients, and participates actively in seminars and public forums on ESG investing, Impact Investing, climate finance.

AXA France trains and informs its sales representatives and employees on responsible savings, Group initiatives, SRI news and customer expectations via internal channels. At the end of 2019, 500 advisers from our distribution network attended workshops dedicated to ESG stakes and moderated by asset managers. ESG investment is also integrated into all the training modules of all 3 of AXA France’s distribution networks (tied agents) which are currently being redesigned. There is also specific documentation dedicated to ESG in the form of thematic sheets, videos, tutorials, materials for customer meetings by sales. The collective savings team also conducts dedicated commercial events (morning meetings, supervisory tips, onsite customer presentations) as well as customer engagement on ESG.

AXA France informs its customers about ESG investment (labelled funds, AXA Group exclusion policy, ESG criteria, etc.) with all communication channels: commercial brochures, website, emailing, newsletter, social networks, etc. In 2019, a crowdsourcing campaign open to everyone was led on monassurancecitoyenne.com to collect French people’ expectations concerning ISR. This campaign generated more than 800 contributions from 800 participants and contributed to build Épargne citoyenne, an ESG product initiative.
6. Other information

Direct environmental footprint management

AXA’s “direct” environmental footprint, although relatively small in absolute terms, is essential in the sense that it also contributes to leading by example as well as improving our operational eco-efficiency, notably through cost savings on energy, fuel, travel, paper and water. AXA has implemented an environmental reporting process and related policies since 2002. Managing our environmental footprint, in line with EU Directive on Non-Financial Reporting, is one of the pillars of our CR strategy.

In 2018, global carbon emissions have increased in absolute terms after 3 years of flattening

-32%
AXA's CO₂ emissions per FTE 2012-2019

-25%
AXA’s CO₂ emissions reduction target 2019-2025

In 2018, AXA's CO₂ emissions per FTE have decreased by 32% compared to 2012, indicating a significant reduction in carbon footprint.

In 2019, AXA's CO₂ emissions reduction target of 25% from 2019 to 2025 is set to further decrease the company's carbon footprint.

AXA’s 2018-2025 CO₂ emissions reduction targets (defined in 2019) are based on the approach promoted by the “Science Based Targets” (SBT) initiative, which AXA joined in 2015. According to the SBT, targets adopted by companies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions are considered “science-based” if they are in line with what the latest climate science expects from companies to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement. AXA used the “Sectoral Decarbonization Approach” to produce these targets, which have been submitted to SBT as follow:

› 25% reduction in AXA’s CO₂ emissions (in absolute terms t.CO₂ eq.) broken down into the following CO₂ emissions reduction sub-targets: -20% from AXA’s vehicle fleet emissions, -35% from AXA’s power consumption linked to building and data centers, and -15% from AXA’s business travel emissions. Our absolute CO₂ emissions target represents a 17% CO₂ emissions reduction per FTE;
› reduce waste by FTE by 15%;
› reduce water consumption by FTE by 15%.

In addition, the Group has set a target to source 100% of its electricity consumed (office sites and AXA-owned data centers) from renewable energy sources by 2025, in line with our “RE100” commitment (RE100 is a coalition of companies pledging to buy 100% of their electricity from renewable sources).

Progress on these targets is described in AXA’s Annual Financial Report and on https://www.axa.com/en/about-us/environmental-footprint-management

In 2019, business travel emissions per FTE increased in part because of changes in the emissions factors, but also because of the integration of the XL Group into our reporting (XL Group number of kilometers traveled by plane contributed by 36% to AXA Group data). Indeed, the international nature of this entity's business involves far more air travel than other local entities.
Outreach and academic research

Climate and sustainability-related memberships

AXA supports various initiatives related to climate change and environmental protection. These include the following:

- **Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance** (www.unepfi.org/net-zero-alliance): described in “Strategy” section. AXA leads this coalition’s Methodology sub-group;
- **TCFD**: AXA co-presided the global industry-led Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) upon its launch in December 2015. The TCFD was set up by the Financial Stability Board (FSB). The TCFD provides guidance on how to disclose climate change risk and opportunities. In 2019, the FSB approved AXA’s renewed membership of the TCFD, notably with an ambition to investigate the relevance of “investment temperature” metrics;
- **CEO Action Group for the European Green Deal**: this coalition, initiated by the World Economic Forum (Davos), strives to help finance the EU “Green Deal” in the wake of the Covid crisis. AXA’s CEO chairs this group;
- **Climate Finance Leadership Initiative**: the CFLI which was launched in September 2018 by the UN Secretary General, and is presided by Michael Bloomberg, seeks to develop standardized and securitized investments at scale to tackle climate change. Notably within developing countries;
- **Alliance of CEO Climate Leaders**: this is a group of 50 CEOs set up by the World Economic Forum to actively engage in global efforts to create market opportunities for tackling climate change. Its goals are to promote strong climate action including a commitment to reduce carbon emissions, to support the TCFD, to support low-carbon solutions and finance, and to promote adequate regulation. AXA joined in 2018;
- **UNEP Finance Initiative, United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment and United Nations Principles for Sustainable Finance**: AXA is an active member of these inter-related organisations. AXA serves on the Global Steering Committee of the UNEP FI and the Board of the UN PSI;
- **Insurance Development Forum**: AXA’s Chairman presides the IDF since 2018. The IDF brings together the private sector insurers, reinsurers and brokers, together with the World Bank and the United Nations Development Program (UNDP). The IDF aims to optimize and extend the use of insurance and its related risk management capabilities to build greater resilience and protection for people, communities, businesses, and public institutions that are vulnerable to disasters and their associated economic shocks. In 2019, the IDF signed a partnership with the UNDP, the German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) and the U.K. Department for International Development (DfID) to insure 500 million more people in 20 emerging countries by 2025 against climate-related disasters and improve their climate resilience.

**AXA has also supported many other coalitions in the fields of climate change, ESG, RI and CSR, such as the UN Global Compact, CDP, ORSE, EpE, Finance for Tomorrow, etc.**

Academic Research

AXA supports climate risk mitigation efforts by funding top-tier scientific research through the AXA Research Fund. A scientific philanthropy initiative launched in 2008, the AXA Research Fund’s work covers the areas of health, climate and environment, and socio-economic issues, as well as new technologies to support these fields of research. The selection of research projects is overseen by an independent Scientific Board.

As of 2019, the AXA Research Fund has committed €250 million to support science. It has funded over 650 research projects in 36 countries, including more than 220 projects in the area of climate and environment.

In 2019, the Fund selected two new AXA Chairs with links to the effects of climate change (€1 million per project over 5 years). The Invasion Biology AXA Chair at the University of Paris Sud (France), led by Prof. Franck Courchamp, aims to establish scenarios for invasive species, a major cause of biodiversity loss, and to assess the risks both in terms of biodiversity and the economy at a global scale. The Applied Pathogen Ecology AXA Chair at the University of Cork (Ireland), led by Prof. Gerard Killeen, will characterize both the obstacles and opportunities for controlling mosquito vectors of malaria, which arise from environmental heterogeneities and biodiversity conservation in Africa.

In 2019 the AXA Research Fund also selected a total of eighteen post-doctoral fellows, including eight on the theme of marine biodiversity for a total of €1 million, to provide scientific insights informing biodiversity conservation policies, climate mitigation and adaptation strategies, or coastal invasive species management. In addition, the AXA Research Fund supports joint research initiatives between academics and AXA experts. For example, AXA IM works with an academic team from the University of Lyon I and CREST on a research project aimed at providing institutional investors with methodologies for assessing climate risks in their asset allocation.

In 2020, the AXA Research Fund launched an expert series where supported researchers present findings from their research work and connect with AXA decision makers to enable a better integration of scientific outputs into business strategy. Hence, in April three AXA Chairholders discussed the interconnections between climate change, biodiversity loss and epidemics to provide AXA practitioners with a better understanding of the mechanisms that led to the outbreak of the covid-19 pandemic and some lessons learned to prepare for the next global crisis. In June, two AXA Chairholders will engage more broadly with AXA experts on the environmental, health and socioeconomic risks associated to the depletion of biodiversity and potential solutions.

The complete list of projects supported by the AXA Research Fund is available on www.axa-research.org

€250m
AXA Research Fund commitment to scientific research

June 2020
Extra-financial ratings

CDP (Formerly Carbon Disclosure Project)

AXA has submitted CDP reports since 2005 (with scores since 2010). Since 2017, AXA’s Climate Change score is A-, reflecting ambitions actions to manage out environmental impact across all our business activities.

Historical scores can be found below and are accessible on the CDP website [www.cdp.net](http://www.cdp.net)

UN Principles for Responsible Investment

Every year, AXA answers the detailed UN PRI assessment which seeks to measure the breadth and impact of its signatories’ Responsible Investment Strategies.

Our 2019 scores per “module” are listed on [www.axa.com](http://www.axa.com): AXA has maintained an overall score of A+ since 2015.

SRI Ratings

AXA’s social, societal, environmental and governance performance is rated by several specialists, including investors, brokers and rating agencies that focus specifically on the Socially Responsible Investment (SRI) market, as well as specialist organizations focused on single sustainability themes. The Group generally ranks amongst the top performers in its industry and is also included in the main international sustainability indices:

- Dow Jones Sustainability Index
- Euronext Vigeo, World 120, Eurozone 120 and France 20
- FTSE4GOOD

The AXA Group’s main SRI ratings are listed below (not all ratings are updated annually):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency/Organisation</th>
<th>Scores &amp; ratings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard &amp; Poor’s</td>
<td>83/100 – Sector average: 47/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow Jones Sustainability Index</td>
<td>Percentile ranking: 97th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigeo Eiris</td>
<td>69/100 – Sector leader (1/49 insurers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTSE ESG</td>
<td>4.3/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainalytics</td>
<td>86/100 – Rank 2/145 in sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI</td>
<td>AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN PRI Strategy &amp; Governance Rating</td>
<td>A+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The Dow Jones Sustainability Index is a reference performance indicator for AXA, its methodology serves as the basis for the AXA Entities Sustainability Index since 2010, and is one of the performance metrics used to calculate long term incentives (Performance Shares) since 2016.
Statutory Auditors’ report (PwC)

Independent Limited assurance report on the Identified Information presented in AXA’s 2020 Climate Report

To the directors of AXA S.A. (“The Company”)

We have undertaken a limited assurance engagement in respect of the selected information listed below and reported at the page number indicated below in The Company’s 2020 Climate Report (the ’Climate report’) (the ’Identified Information’). This engagement was conducted by an independent and multidisciplinary team with experience in sustainability reporting and assurance.

Identified Information

The Identified Information for the year ended 31 December 2019 is summarized below:

❯ Aggregate Corporate Securities (Equity and Debt) Warming Potential (section Climate-related impact assessment: “Portfolio alignment” & warming potential);
❯ Portfolio and Company cost and opportunity of climate for Corporate Securities (section Climate-related risk assessment: AXA’s “Cost of climate”);
❯ Carbon footprint of Corporate Securities and Sovereign Debt (section Investment carbon footprinting – a 2014-2019 trend analysis);
❯ Green Bonds (section Green Investments: a focus on Green Bonds).

Our assurance engagement was with respect to the year ended 31 December 2019 information only and we have not performed any procedures with respect to earlier periods or any other elements included in the Climate report and, therefore, do not express any conclusion thereon.

Criteria

The criteria used by the Company to prepare the Identified Information are available in the Company’s procedures listed below and can be read at the Company’s headquarters (the ’Criteria’):

❯ MSCI – Carbon-Delta Methodology, January 2020;
❯ AXA Investment Managers – Our green bond framework, July 2018;
❯ RI Search - Carbon footprint engine focus, June 2019, whose corporate carbon intensities are based on a private database prepared by the provider Trucost.

The Company’s Responsibility for the Identified Information

The Company is responsible for the preparation of the Identified Information in accordance with the Criteria, the main elements of which are presented in the Climate report. This responsibility includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation of the Identified Information that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Inherent limitations

The Identified Information needs to be read and understood together with the Criteria, which The Company is solely responsible for selecting and applying. The absence of a significant body of established practice on which to draw to evaluate and measure non-financial information allows for different, but acceptable, measures and measurement techniques and can affect comparability between entities.

Our Independence and Quality Control

We have complied with the independence and other ethical requirements of the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants, which is founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behavior.

Our firm applies International Standard on Quality Control and accordingly maintains a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

6. Other information

Our Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express a limited assurance conclusion on the Identified Information based on the procedures we have performed and the evidence we have obtained. We conducted our limited assurance engagement in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3000, Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information, issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. This standard requires that we plan and perform this engagement to obtain limited assurance about whether the Identified Information is free from material misstatement.

A limited assurance engagement involves assessing the suitability in the circumstances of the Company’s use of the Criteria as the basis for the preparation of the Identified Information, assessing the risks of material misstatement of the Identified Information whether due to fraud or error, responding to the assessed risks as necessary under the circumstances, and evaluating the overall presentation of the Identified Information. A limited assurance engagement is substantially less in scope than a reasonable assurance engagement in relation to both the risk assessment procedures, including an understanding of internal control, and the procedures performed in response to the assessed risks.

The procedures we performed were based on our professional judgment and included inquiries, observation of processes performed, inspection of documents, analytical procedures, evaluating the appropriateness of quantification methods and reporting policies, and agreeing or reconciling with underlying records.

Given the circumstances of the engagement, in performing the procedures listed above we:

❯ made inquiries of the persons responsible for the Identified Information;
❯ understood the process for collecting and reporting the Identified Information;
❯ performed limited testing of relevant documents and records on a sample basis;
❯ performed limited testing and reviewing on a sample basis of quantitative information related to the Identified Information to check that the data had been appropriately collected and reported; and
❯ considered the disclosure and presentation of the Identified Information.

For Warming Potential and Cost and opportunity of climate information, calculated by the external provider Carbon Delta, our procedures did not include the review of Carbon Delta’s databases, computation and information systems nor quality management procedures.

For Carbon intensities information, our procedures did not include the review of the preparation of Trucost’s database.

The procedures performed in a limited assurance engagement vary in nature and timing from, and are less in extent than, a reasonable assurance engagement. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had we performed a reasonable assurance engagement. Accordingly, we do not express a reasonable assurance opinion about whether the Company’s Identified Information has been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Criteria.

Limited Assurance Conclusion

Based on the procedures we have performed and the evidence we have obtained, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Company’s Identified Information for the year ended December 31, 2019 is not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Criteria.

This report, including the conclusion, has been prepared solely for the directors of the Company as a body, to assist them in reporting on the Company’s climate-related performance and activities. We permit this report to be disclosed within the Climate report, to enable the directors to demonstrate they have discharged their governance responsibilities by commissioning an independent assurance report in connection with the Climate report. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the directors as a body and the Company for our work or this report save where terms are expressly agreed and with our prior consent in writing.

Neuilly sur Seine, France
2nd July 2020
PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit

Bénédicte Vignon
Partner

Sylvain Lambert
Partner in charge of the Sustainable Performance & Strategy Department

(1) The maintenance and integrity of the Company’s website is the responsibility of the directors; the work carried out by the assurance provider does not involve consideration of these matters and, accordingly, the assurance provider accepts no responsibility for any differences between the Climate report of the Company on which the assurance report was issued or the assurance report that was issued and the information presented on the website.
Disclaimer

Cautionary statement regarding forward looking statements and important legal information

This Climate report may include statements with respect to future events, trends, plans, expectations or objectives and other forward-looking statements relating to the AXA Group’s future business, financial condition, results of operations, performance, and strategy as they relate to the climate objectives and other goals set forth herein. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical fact and may contain the terms “may”, “will”, “should”, “continue”, “aims”, “estimates”, “projects”, “believes”, “intends”, “expects”, “plans”, “seeks” or “anticipates” or words of similar meaning. Such statements are based on Management’s current views and assumptions and, by nature, involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties; therefore, undue reliance should not be placed on them. In particular, the actual achievement of the climate-related and other goals set forth herein may differ materially from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. Furthermore, many of the factors impacting the achievement of our climate goals may be more likely to occur, or more pronounced, as a result of catastrophic events, such as weather-related and other catastrophic events, including pandemic events. Please refer to Part 4 – “Risk factors and Risk Management” of the AXA's Universal Registration Document for the year ended December 31, 2019 (the “2019 Universal Registration Document”), available on AXA’s website (www.axa.com), for a description of certain important factors, risks and uncertainties that may affect AXA’s business and/or results of operations. AXA assumes no obligation to update or revise any of these forward-looking statements, whether to reflect new information, future events or circumstances or otherwise, except as required by applicable laws and regulations.

In accordance with applicable laws and regulations, AXA's 2019 Universal Registration Document includes, in particular, (i) all the components of the Annual Financial Report (Rapport Financier Annuel) referred to in paragraph I of Article L.451-1-2 of the French Monetary and Financial Code (Code monétaire et financier) as well as in Article 222-3 of the AMF General Regulation (Règlement Général de l'AMF), (ii) all disclosure matters required to be included in the Board of Directors’ report to AXA’s Shareholders’ Meeting to be held on June 30, 2020, established pursuant to Articles L.225-100 et seq. of the French Commercial Code (Code de commerce), and (iii) all the elements required to be included in the corporate governance report established pursuant to Articles L.225-37 et seq. of the French Commercial Code.

This Climate report does not form part of AXA's 2019 Universal Registration Document and is not intended to address or provide information in respect of, nor should it be relied upon as addressing, or should any reference therein to AXA's 2019 Universal Registration Document be construed as addressing, any of the abovementioned requirements of (i) the Annual Financial Report, (ii) the Board of Directors’ report to AXA’s Shareholders’ Meeting or (iii) the corporate governance report. For the avoidance of doubt, any reference in this Climate report to Article 173 of Law No. 2015-992 of August 17, 2015 should be construed solely as a reference to paragraph VI thereof and related implementing measures.

Where reference is made to a website in this Climate report, the contents of such website do not form part of this Climate report.